Court File No. T-1492-17

FEDERAL COURT

BETWEEN:

BRITISH COLUMBIA CIVIL LIBERTIES ASSOCIATION

Applicant

- and -

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA

Respondent

CERTIFIED TRIBUNAL RECORD Volume XVII

For A/Chief GSS: not certain which GSS unit head is responsible for addressing s.15 cases emanating from the NEB $\,$

Cheers,

DDG Ops SSB / SDG Ops DFS



Tab/Onglet 1

Page 26

AGC1031

TAB





Threat and Risk Assessment

Évaluation des menaces et des risques

SECRET/CANADIAN EYES ONLY

2012 11 06

NATIONAL ENERGY BOARD: POTENTIAL THREATS TO INFORMATION AND OPERATIONS

KEY ASSESSMENTS



Page 1 of 18

Tab/Onglet 2

AGC1032

2012 11 06



CAVEAT

This report is issued under the authority of the Director, Canadian Security Intelligence Service. It is provided for the information of the recipient and colleagues of the recipient's department or agency who have the appropriate security clearance and may benefit from knowledge of its contents.

This report may be paraphrased and used in internal departmental or agency correspondence. Neither the report nor any of its contents should be disseminated outside the recipient's department or agency without prior consultation with CSIS. The Director, CSIS, should be informed of any action taken by a department or agency based on its contents.

This document constitutes a record which may be subject to mandatory exemption under the Access to Information Act or Privacy Act. The information or intelligence may also be protected by the provisions of the Canada Evidence Act. The information or intelligence must not be disclosed or used as evidence without prior consultation with the Canadian Security Intelligence Service.

Page 2 of 18

2012 11 06

MANDATE

1. This assessment has been prepared by the Intelligence Assessments Branch (IAB) of the Canadian Security Intelligence Service (CSIS) for the National Energy Board (NEB). The scope of this report is limited to a strategic assessment of current national security threats, within the context of CSIS's legislated mandate, which may be pertinent to the department.

2. The Service has reviewed classified and open-source reporting in addition to recent intelligence assessments relating to to identify and assess relevant threat information. This assessment is current as of July 2012. (C)

Page 3 of 18



*

÷.

ŝ



Page 4 of 18

5 of 19

2012 11 06



Page 5 of 18

Page 34

2012 11 06

Ę.

а. 1

ĩ

Ĵ,

.



Page 6 of 18

Page 35

7 of 19

2012 11 06



Page 7 of 18

Page 36

2012 11 06

3 -

5

1

÷,

\$



Page 8 of 18

Page 37

AGC1032

9 of 19

2012 11 06



Page 9 of 18

Tab/Onglet 2

Page 38



ŝ

 $\mathbf{v}_{i}^{(i)}$

ĥ



Page 10 of 18

Page 3

Tab/Onglet 2

11 of 19

2012 11 06



Page 11 of 18

Tab/Onglet 2

Page 40

2012 11 06

а. Э. -

~ ⁽

Ŷ

4 1

5



Page 12 of 18

Page 41

2012 11.06



Page 13 of 18

Tab/Onglet 2

Page 42

2012 11 06

ş

•,

٤.

ĭ.



Page 14 of 18

Page 43

2012 11 06



Page 15 of 18



Tab/Onglet 2

Page 44

.

2012 11 06

÷.

 \mathbf{s}

ġ

'n

ŝ,

.



Page 16 of 18

Page 45

s

Tab/Onglet 2

17 of 19

2012 11 06

This page is intentionally blank

Page 17 of 18

Tab/Onglet 2

AGC1032

2012 11 06

ANNEX II

ADVANCED PERSISTENT THREATS

1. The Canadian Cyber Incident Response Centre (CCIRC) has produced a publication related to mitigation of Advanced Persistent Threats. The annex in its entirety is UNCLASSIFED and is available of the Public Safety Canada website at: http://www.publicsafety.gc.ca/prg/em/ccirc/2011/tr11-002-eng.aspx (U)

Page 18 of 18

Page 47

19 of 19

Classification: Confidential Classification : Confidentiel Restriction : NR / AR File Number / No. de dossier :

I was contacted last week by a former mid-manager at NRCan here in Ottawa, Rick Garber, who is now (I believe) the Security Manager and D/DSO for the NEB in Calgary (a federal department which happens to be based in The West). Rick is organizing a visit for his ADM and himself to Ottawa in mid-2012 11 to attend one of the Service's semi-annual classified briefings for the energy sector (coordinated by IAB I believe). While in Ottawa, NEB are interested in setting up a half-day of briefings for the ADM and D/DSO on at least the following issues (details which I could not obtain as we were on an open line):



Cheers and thanks,





Constaan Serain Security samatich da Intelligence tenselgaenand Service de securite

Our file: Your file: A-2012-00439

SECRET (w/attach.)

SEP 4 2012

Ms. Amy Cruickshank Royal Canadian Mounted Police Access to Information and Privacy Branch 73 Leikin Drive Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0R2

Dear Ms. Cruickshank:

This refers to your consultation letter of August 8, 2012 together with attachments, requesting recommendations for exemption under the Access to Information Act pursuant to a request for "RCMP materials and/or investigation into people or organizations opposed to the Northern Gateway Pipeline project. Memos, emails, reviews and reports, not excluding other materials, that will details RCMP investigations into organizations etc".

We recommend that the information highlighted in **PINK** in the attached records be exempted

In order that we may address any media issues, a copy of these documents as released to the applicant would be appreciated.

It is CSIS practice not to enter section numbers for exemptions next to the deleted portions on pages released to the applicant. Therefore, for national security reasons, please ensure that this section number is not included on any of the released documents.

Should you disagree with our recommended exemptions, please advise us to that effect prior to the release of these documents.

I would also be grateful if your staff contacted which you expect to release this material to the applicant.

with the date on

Thank you for consulting with us on this matter.

Yours truly,

Coordinator Access to Information and Privacy

Attachments

P.O. Box 9732, Station "T", Ottawa, Ontano K1G 4G4 C,P. 9732, Succursale "T", Ottawa (Ontario) K1G 4GC anada Tel: (613) 231-0107 1-877-995-9903 Fax: (613)842-1271

Page 616

Tab/Onglet 9

AGC1034

	125) Cellindu 6	inad.)		Protected A	an el composition de la composition de La composition de la c
	MILE MES		OI D'UN MESSAGE	Processor - Process	
TRAN	SMITTAL	PAR	TELECOPIEUR	ROUTINE	
TO TO TO TO	stant Commis	sinior Machityri	. "E" Division OROPS	6316	
		(* Division CRO		2010-09-23	a Alexandra da Angela Alexandra da Angela Alexandra da Angela
				Haleroorg No H' de réference	19
FOR YOUR, CISU	ot. Barry Clari	k - 'E' Division	Northern District		and a second
POUR VOTRE	ipt. Pleise Pari	ron - By Hand	The second state of the second s second second sec second second sec	vour Flie Volre n° do doswer	
INFORM FRUM Name	Yam		a daga daga daga daga daga daga daga da	Qur File Notice of 19 Jossien	en e
3 YE		ssioner Michau	e des en la substance. Of the second		
<mark>⊅•</mark> ≁	Su	D. DIV - 3 - CIV	Branch - Sorvico	PRIDE CPE OF OSR	RSO
HQ		SCI			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
S¥¢µo	n (1999) 1971 - Station Guidel Versen (1		n de la Unit d'Unit d e la companya de la companya Na companya de la com Na companya de la com	Unit Coll Code d'Atterclans, de	ko n ∉rw uko torta di baran di Angle di baran di bar
SENOER - EXPEDITEU		and the second of the	RECIPIENT DESTINATAIRE		
Fai No . Nº 10 (8)50 813-993-9474	Tal. No N'	and the second second second	ar No - N' ce tálác. Bus. Tel. No N' :	de tél, bur Res Tel, No -Nº de fi	0. res
and the second	terisis in the second secon	761년61 - 1월 - 1월 - 1월 - 1989년 - 1989년 - 1989년 - 1989년 - 1989년			
SUBJECT Enbridge OBJET) Northern G	ataway Pipolin	e Project Total North	rundar of dages including this one fototot de pages, e curiprise colle-ci	
COMMENTS	en de la constitución de la constitu		in a stand and a stand and A stand and a stand a st	and a second	ningen (1997) i 1998) i 19 Demografie (1998)
in attendance:) hosted a working group meeting		
National Security In attendance: CSIST "K" Olvision - C/Si "E" Olvision - Insp	ipt. Dan Kill: Bay Fast, M	am, Insp. Clem Is. Solia Manol	ens Imgrund, Ms. Alexandra Espin las		
National Security In attendance: CSISS K. Olvision - C/Si "E" Olvision - Insp Griminal Intelligon	ipt. Dan Kill: Ray Fast, M co - Sgt. Mar	am, Insp. Clem Is. Sofia Manol tin Bedard, Ms	ens Imgrund, Ms. Alaxandra Espin		
National Security In attendance: CSISS K. Olvision - C/Si "E" Olvision - Insp Griminal Intelligon	ipt. Dan Kill: Ray Fast, M co - Sgt. Mar	am, Insp. Clem Is. Sofia Manol tin Bedard, Ms	ens Imgrund, Ms. Alexandra Espin las		
National Security In attendance: CSISS "K" Olvision - C/Si "E" Olvision - Insp Griminal Intelligen NSCI - Ms. Wendy The objective of th	upt. Dan Killa Ray Fast, Mar Ce - Sgt. Mar Nicol, Mr. Tin 9 meeting wa	am, Insp. Clem Is. Sofia Manol tin Bedard, Ms m O'Nei! as to discuss t	ens Imgrund, Ms. Alexandra Espin las I. Catherine Greenley Ne Enbridge Northern Gateway Pip	oza salına Project, which if	
National Security In attendance: CSISS "K" Olvision - C/Si "E" Olvision - Insp Griminal Intelligen NSCI - Ms. Wendy The objective of th	upt. Dan Killa Ray Fast, Mar Ce - Sgt. Mar Nicol, Mr. Tin 9 meeting wa	am, Insp. Clem Is. Sofia Manol tin Bedard, Ms m O'Nei! as to discuss t	ens Imgrund, Ms. Alexandra Espin las I. Catherino Greenley	oza salına Project, which if	
National Security In attendance: CSISS "K" Olvision - C/Si "E" Olvision - Insp Griminal Intelligen NSCI - Ms. Wendy The objective of th	upt. Dan Killa Ray Fast, Mar Ce - Sgt. Mar Nicol, Mr. Tin 9 meeting wa	am, Insp. Clem Is. Sofia Manol tin Bedard, Ms m O'Nei! as to discuss t	ens Imgrund, Ms. Alexandra Espin las I. Catherine Greenley Ne Enbridge Northern Gateway Pip	oza salına Project, which if	
National Security In attendance: CSISS "K" Olvision - C/Si "E" Olvision - Insp Griminal Intelligen NSCI - Ms. Wendy The objective of th approved will sea t	upt. Dan Kill: Ray Fost, M ce - Sgt. Mar Nicol, Mr. Tin e meeting wa he building o	am, Insp. Clem Is. Sofia Manol tin Bedard, Ms m O'Neil as to discuss t of a twined pel	ens Imgrund, Ms. Alexandra Espin las . Catherine Greenley he Enoridge Northern Gateway Pip roleum pipeline to run from Edmo.	oza Seline Project, which if Aton District to Kilimat, BC	
National Socurity In attendance: CSISS "K" Olvision - C/Si "E" Olvision - Insp Griminal Intelligen NSCI - Ms. Wendy The objective of the approved will sea to this message is intende Disclosure of message	apt. Dan Kills Ray Fast, M ce - Sgt. Mar. Nicol, Mr. Tin e meeting withe building of the building of the building of content may bre content may bre	am, Insp. Clem Is. Sofia Manol tin Bedard, Ms m O'Neil as to discuss t of a twined per the addresses pach one or communication	ans Imgrund, Ms. Alexandra Espin las . Catherine Greenley he Enbridge Northern Gateway Pis roleum pipeline to run from Edmo Gatta communication ast exclusivemen La divulgation de son contenu peur poi	oza saluna Project, which if nton District to Kitimat, BC nt destines 5 qui elle est adressed nalifiger une infraction 4 que eu	
National Security In attendance: CSISS "K" Olvision - C/Si "E" Olvision - Insp Griminal Intelligen NSCI - Ms. Wendy The objective of the approved will sea to his message is intende bisclosure of message is error, notify the sea	apt. Dan Kills Ray Fast, M ce - Sgt. Mar. Nicol, Mr. Tin e meeting withe building of the building of the building of content may bre content may bre	am, Insp. Clem Is. Sofia Manol tin Bedard, Ms m O'Neil as to discuss t of a twined per the addresses pach one or communication	Cotta communication ast exclusivement catherine Greenley the Enbridge Northern Gateway Pis roleum pipeline to run from Edmo Cotta communication ast exclusivement cativigation de son contenu peur con plusieurs fels. Si vous svet requicette on avitar immédiatement l'expeditour	oz3 palina Projact, which if aton District to Kilimat, BC nt destinas 3 qui alle sal adression nativer une infraction A una qui communication ar ervar, seuti par talgenone	
National Socurity In attendance: CSISS "K" Olvision - C/Si "E" Olvision - Insp Griminal Intelligen NSCI - Ms. Wendy The objective of the approved will sea to this message is intended bisclosure of message in error, notify the sea	apt. Dan Kills Ray Fast, M ce - Sgt. Mar. Nicol, Mr. Tin e meeting withe building of the building of the building of content may bre content may bre	am, Insp. Clem Is. Sofia Manol tin Bedard, Ms m O'Neil as to discuss t of a twined per the addresses pach one or communication	cotta communication est exclusivement cotta communication est exclusivement cotta communication est exclusivement cotta communication est exclusivement cationication de son contenue peur con plusions fols. Si vous avec requicette	oza palina Projact, which if nton District to Kilimat, BC nt destinas y qui alle sal adression nativer une infraction A una qui communication agrersar, eaufi	
National Socurity In attendance: CSISS "K" Olvision - C/Si "E" Olvision - Insp Griminal Intelligen NSCI - Ms. Wendy The objective of the approved will sea to his message is intende bactories of message is drive of message is drive of message porator - Codestour O BE SELVERED of Ca	Ipt. Dan Kills Ray Fast, M ce - Sgt. Mar Nicol, Mr. Ti e meeting w the building of the building of content may be received this co or connectatory	am, Insp. Clem Is. Sofia Manol tin Bedard, Ms m O'Neil as to discuss t of a twined per the addresses pach one or communication	Cotta communication ast exclusivement catherine Greenley the Enbridge Northern Gateway Pis roleum pipeline to run from Edmo Cotta communication ast exclusivement cativigation de son contenu peur con plusieurs fels. Si vous svet requicette on avitar immédiatement l'expeditour	oza palina Project, which if nton District to Kitimat, BC natificar una infraction A yea au communication gar arrour, south partaisenane (Casy No - N* gaoted	
National Security In attendance: CSISS "K" Olvision - C/Su "E" Olvision - Insp Griminal Intelligen NSCI - Ms. Wendy The objective of the approved will see to "his message is intende Nactosure of message nore taxs. If you have a error, notify the seed porstor - Costatour Dae Decivered of Ca	Ipt. Dan Kills Ray Fast, M ce - Sgt. Mar Nicol, Mr. Ti e meeting w the building of the building of content may be received this co or connectatory	am, Insp. Clem Is: Sofia Manol tin Bedard, Ms m O'Neil as to discuss t of a twined pel f the addresses, tach ane or omnunication by telephone.	Ans Imgrund, Ms. Alexandra Espin las . Catherine Greenley he Eribridge Northern Gateway Pip roleum pipeline to run from Edmo Cotta communication ast exclusivemen La divuigation de son contenu peur co plusieura inte. Si vous avez requicette on avitar internetinatement l'expoditour Pelaphone No N° de telephone	oza palina Project, which if nton District to Kilimat, BC nt destines 3 qui elle sat adresses natifuer une infraction 4 une su communication par er/sur, south par talegnone (Daily No - N° quoted fisteur 2010-19 23	
National Security In attendance: CSISS "K" Olvision - C/Si "E" Olvision - Insp Griminal Intelligen NSCI - Ms. Wendy The objective of the approved will see the his message is intende his message is intende back of massage is intende his message is intende to a cross of massage a error, notify the send perstor - Constour O BE DELIVERED BY Careful Constant Careful Constant	Ipt. Dan Kills Ray Fast, M ce - Sgt. Mar Nicol, Mr. Ti e meeting w the building of the building of content may be received this co or connectatory	am, Insp. Clem Is: Sofia Manol tin Bedard, Ms m O'Neil as to discuss t of a twined pel f the addresses, tach ane or omnunication by telephone.	Ans Imgrund, Ms. Alexandra Espin las . Catherine Greenley he Eribridge Northern Gateway Pip roleum pipeline to run from Edmo Cotta communication ast exclusivemen La divuigation de son contenu peur co plusieura inte. Si vous avez requicette on avitar internetinatement l'expoditour Pelaphone No N° de telephone	oza palina Project, which if nton District to Kitimat, BC natificar une infraction A una su communication gar erisur, eauth partalegname (Case No - N° gaoted harar	
National Socurity In attendance: CSISS "K" Olvision - C/Si "E" Olvision - Insp Griminal Intelligen NSCI - Ms. Wendy The objective of the approved will sea to "bis message is intende National fragments of the approved will sea to oraci taxs. If you have perstor - Gearstour DBE Deuverseo or Ca LivzeR over LE EPLT MEQUIPED at PPONSE OVER LE	Ipt. Dan Kills Ray Fast, M ce - Sgt. Mar Nicol, Mr. Ti e meeting w the building of the building of content may be received this co or connectatory	am, Insp. Clem Is: Sofia Manol tin Bedard, Ms m O'Neil as to discuss t of a twined pel f the addresses, tach ane or omnunication by telephone.	Ans Imgrund, Ms. Alexandra Espin las . Catherine Greenley he Eribridge Northern Gateway Pip roleum pipeline to run from Edmo Cotta communication ast exclusivemen La divuigation de son contenu peur co plusieura inte. Si vous avez requicette on avitar internetinatement l'expoditour Pelaphone No N° de telephone	oza palina Project, which if nton District to Kilimat, BC nto District to Kilimat, BC sommunication as erosur, could partition as erosur, could partition on Casiy No - N° qualid Distor 2011-12 23 form - Hypura	
National Socurity In attendance: CSISP "K" Olvision - C/Si "E" Olvision - Insp Griminal Intelligen NSCL - Ms. Wendy The objective of the approved will sea to Distance of message increasing in compared porator - Operatour D BE DEUVERED BY LIVRER D'CLE	Ipt. Dan Kills Ray Fast, M ce - Sgt. Mar Nicol, Mr. Ti e meeting w the building of the building of content may be received this co or connectatory	am, Insp. Clem Is: Sofia Manol tin Bedard, Ms m O'Neil as to discuss t of a twined pel f the addresses, tach ane or omnunication by telephone.	Ans Imgrund, Ms. Alexandra Espin las . Catherine Greenley he Eribridge Northern Gateway Pip roleum pipeline to run from Edmo Cotta communication ast exclusivemen La divuigation de son contenu peur co plusieura inte. Si vous avez requicette on avitar internetinatement l'expoditour Pelaphone No N° de telephone	oza palina Project, which if nton District to Kilimat, BC nt destines 3 qui elle sat adresses natifuer une infraction 4 une su communication par er/sur, south par talegnone (Daily No - N° quoted fisteur 2010-19 23	
National Socurity In attendance: CSISP "K" Olvision - C/Si "E" Olvision - Insp Griminal Intelligen NSCI - Ms. Wendy The objective of the approved will sea to Dis message is intende Distance of message nore taxes. It pomesage porstoc - Operatour D BE Sturesto or Livaén Dici Le EPLT RECUPEO BY FPONSE DICI LE	Ipt. Dan Kills Ray Fast, M ce - Sgt. Mar Nicol, Mr. Ti e meeting w the building of the building of content may be received this co or connectatory	am, Insp. Clem Is: Sofia Manol tin Bedard, Ms m O'Neil as to discuss t of a twined pel f the addresses, tach ane or omnunication by telephone.	Ans Imgrund, Ms. Alexandra Espin las . Catherine Greenley he Eribridge Northern Gateway Pip roleum pipeline to run from Edmo Cotta communication ast exclusivemen La divuigation de son contenu peur co plusieura inte. Si vous avez requicette on avitar internetinatement l'expoditour Pelaphone No N° de telephone	oza palina Project, which if nton District to Kilimat, BC nto District to Kilimat, BC sommunication as erosur, could partition as erosur, could partition on Casiy No - N° qualid Distor 2011-12 23 form - Hypura	
National Socurity In attendance: CSISP "K" Olvision - C/Si "E" Olvision - Insp Griminal Intelligen NSCL - Ms. Wendy The objective of the approved will sea to Distance of message increasing in compared porator - Operatour D BE DEUVERED BY LIVRER D'CLE	Ipt. Dan Kills Ray Fast, M ce - Sgt. Mar Nicol, Mr. Ti e meeting w the building of the building of content may be received this co or connectatory	am, Insp. Clem Is: Sofia Manol tin Bedard, Ms m O'Neil as to discuss t of a twined pel f the addresses, tach ane or omnunication by telephone.	Ans Imgrund, Ms. Alexandra Espin las . Catherine Greenley he Eribridge Northern Gateway Pip roleum pipeline to run from Edmo Cotta communication ast exclusivemen La divuigation de son contenu peur co plusieura inte. Si vous avez requicette on avitar internetinatement l'expoditour Pelaphone No N° de telephone	oza palina Project, which if nton District to Kilimat, BC nto District to Kilimat, BC sommunication as erosur, could partition as erosur, could partition on Casiy No - N° qualid Distor 2011-12 23 form - Hypura	
National Socurity In attendance: CSISP "K" Olvision - C/Si "E" Olvision - Insp Griminal Intelligen NSCL - Ms. Wendy The objective of the approved will sea to Distance of message increasing in compared porator - Operatour D BE DEUVERED BY LIVRER D'CLE	Ipt. Dan Kills Ray Fast, M ce - Sgt. Mar Nicol, Mr. Ti e meeting w the building of the building of content may be received this co or connectatory	am, Insp. Clem Is: Sofia Manol tin Bedard, Ms m O'Neil as to discuss t of a twined pel f the addresses, tach ane or omnunication by telephone.	Ans Imgrund, Ms. Alexandra Espin las . Catherine Greenley he Eribridge Northern Gateway Pip roleum pipeline to run from Edmo Cotta communication ast exclusivemen La divuigation de son contenu peur co plusieura inte. Si vous avez requicette on avitar internetinatement l'expoditour Pelaphone No N° de telephone	oza palina Project, which if nton District to Kilimat, BC nt destinas 3 qui alte del adressad nalificar une infraction 4 una du communication dar arraut, south par talaghome Cairie P 23 Imm - Hyaro Cairiel	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 8 4 8 4 8 4 8 4 8 4
National Socurity In attendance: CSISS "K" Olvision - C/Si "E" Olvision - Insp Griminal Intelligen NSCI - Ms. Wendy The objective of the approved will sea to Distinues of message increasing the sea porator - Operatour D BE DELIVERED BY LIVRER D'CLE	Ipt. Dan Kills Ray Fast, M ce - Sgt. Mar Nicol, Mr. Ti e meeting w the building of the building of content may be received this co or connectatory	am, Insp. Clem Is: Sofia Manol tin Bedard, Ms m O'Neil as to discuss t of a twined pel f the addresses, tach ane or omnunication by telephone.	Ans Imgrund, Ms. Alexandra Espin las . Catherine Greenley he Eribridge Northern Gateway Pip roleum pipeline to run from Edmo Cotta communication ast exclusivemen La divuigation de son contenu peur co plusieura inte. Si vous avez requicette on avitar internetinatement l'expoditour Pelaphone No N° de telephone	oza palina Project, which if nton District to Kilimat, BC nt destinas 3 qui alte del adressad nalificar une infraction 4 una du communication dar arraut, south par talaghome Cairie P 23 Imm - Hyaro Cairiel	
National Security In attendance: CSISS "K" Olvision - C/Se "E" Olvision - Insp Griminal Intelligon NSCI - Ms. Wendy The objective of the approved will see to This message is intende Disclosure of message more taxe. If you have in error, notify the seed porvator - Constour	Ipt. Dan Kills Ray Fast, M ce - Sgt. Mar Nicol, Mr. Ti e meeting w the building of the building of content may be received this co or connectatory	am, Insp. Clem Is: Sofia Manol tin Bedard, Ms m O'Neil as to discuss t of a twined pel f the addresses, tach ane or omnunication by telephone.	Ans Imgrund, Ms. Alexandra Espin las . Catherine Greenley he Eribridge Northern Gateway Pip roleum pipeline to run from Edmo Cotta communication ast exclusivemen La divuigation de son contenu peur co plusieura inte. Si vous avez requicette on avitar internetinatement l'expoditour Pelaphone No N° de telephone	oza palina Project, which if nton District to Kilimat, BC nt destinas 3 qui alte del adressad nalificar une infraction 4 una du communication dar arraut, south par talaghome Cairie P 23 Imm - Hyaro Cairiel	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 8 4 8 4 8 4 8 4 8 4

.....



Royar Canadian - Genuarmaria ravala Monard Rofce - Kurbanasa

FACSIMILE (MESSAGE ENVOI D'UN MESSAGE TRANSMITTAL PAR TÉLÉCOPIEUR

COMMENTS (continued) COMMENTAIRES (suite)

The financial benefits of the pipeline to the Canadian (including the Alberta and BC) economies are well documented, as are the benefits to the US and possibly Asian energy markets.

Equally, there are many who oppose the construction of the pipeline and it is assessed that criminal activity will be experienced during the regulatory hearings, and subsequently if construction is approved.

Discussion:

Currently this Project is within the National Energy Board (NEB) review process, which includes NEB public hearings being conducted along the proposed corridor. The results of the NEB review will be provided to the Federal government, which has the final authority to authorize the construction of the pipeline.

There are many factors that must be considered prior to and subsequent to the final ruling.

The approval, construction, operation and maintenance of the pipeline will be completed in accordance with strictly enforced federal government regulations which will include consideration for the health and safety of Canadians, Aboriginal concerns as well as the safety of the natural environment.

There is wide support for the building of the pipeline from: the trade unions whose members will be employed, the companies that will provide the pipe for the pipeline, the support/service industries that will be used during the construction, as well as the many spin off jobs that will be created during the construction of the pipeline as well as the legacy jobs that will be created to maintain the pipeline during the life of the pipeline.

There are also many opponents of the pipeline project including those associated to: Aboriginal sovereignty concerns, a variety of environmental awareness groups and others who may be impacted during the construction and the subsequent life of the pipeline.

The Federal government's decision to either accept or reject Entridge's proposal will not be provided within the near future, and will only be the start of the next - and lengthy - phase in the actual construction

There is the possibility that there will be civil unrest and criminal occurrences during the NEB consultation process.

The spillage of oil associated to the Enbridge pipeline rupture in Michigan has provided opponents with added imperva to project their oral, written and physical/violent opposition to the Project.

There is the possibility that Enbridge's property may be subjected to vandalism and other forms of criminal activism during the NEB consultation process.

If Enbridge's proposal is approved by the Federal government, the many opponents of the Pipeline will likely utilize the soluris to prevent the construction of the pipeline, resulting in many years of delay.

If Enbridge's proposal meets with success through both the regulatory and the court system, the years of pipeline construction will likely include many occurrences of civil and criminal unrest.

ACLAR THE SETS STORES

.....

Canadä

Hager 2 in de 13

ે. વેરસેન્ટ્રોસ્ટ સ્ટાટ - જેટ વસરાઇ અભેગલ

A0013671_158-000249

000002

Page 618

Page 3 offer 3

Reference No. - Nº de reférence:



Royal Canadian Gendarmerle royale Mounted Police du Canada

FACSIMILE / MESSAGE ENVOI D'UN MESSAGE TRANSMITTAL PAR TÉLÉCOPIEUR

COMMENTS (continued) COMMENTAIRES (suite)

Recommendations:

It was agreed that:

The RCMP ("E", "K" and HQ), CSIS control of the source collaborate in the production of classified and unclassified intelligence products for the benefit of the RCMP and other law-enforcement agencies; other federal and provincial departments, related stakeholders and not exclusively: Natural Resources Canada, Environment Cenada, Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Indiana and Northern Affairs Canada, National Energy Board, Transport Canada, National Energy Board, Environment Cenada, National Energy Board, Environment Canada, National Energy Board, Canada, Canada, National Energy Board, Environment Canada, National Environment Canada, Canada, Canada, National Environment Canada, Canada, Canada, National Environment Canada, Canada

As and if required, other stakeholders will be solicited for assistance in the production of the intelligence products.

RCMP communication between "E" and "K" Division and HQ will be completed through the Divisional CROPS and Critical Infrastructure Criminal Intelligence (National Security Criminal Investigations).

"K" Division suggests that consideration be given to a JIG being developed for this initiative.

RCMP initiatives will be initiated between "E" & "K" Divisions and HQ through Divisional CROPS and CICI. Information and intelligence sharing will be conducted directly between the HQ and Divisional CICI analysts. "E" and "K" Division CROPS will determine the appropriate reporting mechanism for the exchange of Intelligence and Information between E/INSET and Calgary NSES and the detechments.

Divisional CROPS will ensure information and intelligence generated at the detechment level is provided to E/INSET and/or Calgary NSES to assist in the development of the intelligence products.

"E" Division recommends that North District identify a dedicated resource to be the Northern Gateway Pipeline coordinater for the region. This resource would be responsible to coordinate the exchange of intelligence and information between the detachments and E/INSET. This will ensure that incidents occurring in the North District are systematically reported. Each detachment would identify one member to be the equivalent of a Counter Terrorism Information Officer (CTIO) who would serve as a point of contact. E/INSET with the assistance of CIO will develop a training and awareness program for these members. The CTIOs will be responsible to provide detachment members with intelligence and information from a national security and critical infrastructure protection perspective.

Passage of Intelligence products to the detachments will be coordinated through the CROPS and the DIO.

Mr. Tim O'Nell will be the NSCI contact. You are welcome to contact him directly for additional information or clarification.

Regards

Gilles Michaud, Assistant Commissioner National Security Criminal Investigations

RCMP GRC 2875 (2003-08)



A0013671_159-000200003

Tab/Onglet 9

Page 619



Royal Canadian Gendarmerie royale Mounted Police du Canada Security Classification / Demonation Classification / Désignation (Secondaria



AUG 0 8 2012

Ms.

ATIP Coordinator Canadian Security Intelligence Service PO Box 9732, Station "T" Ottawa ON K1G 4G4

Your file

Votre réference

Our file Notre référence A-2012-00439

Dear

Re: RCMP materials and / or investigation into people or organizations opposed to the Northern Gateway Pipeline project. Memos, emails, reviews and reports, not excluding other materials, that will details RCMP investigations into organizations etc. <u>Access to Information Act</u>

The Royal Canadian Mounted Police are processing a request under the <u>Access to Information Act</u> for information relating to the above noted subject. In reviewing the relevant records, we found 3 pages of correspondence which was either provided to the RCMP by your Department or may be of greater interest to your Department.

I would appreciate your recommendations with respect to the disclosure or protection of this information. Should you wish to deny access to any portion, please indicate the appropriate section of the <u>Act</u> and provide rationale. In order to meet our legislative diary date, a reply by August 28, 2012, would be appreciated.

Should you wish clarification on any matter concerning this request, contact the undersigned at 613-843-6843 or by writing at amy cruickshank@rcmp-grc.gc.ca or to the address below. For ease of reference, please quote the file number appearing on this letter.

Yours truly,

Ms. Amy Cruickshank Access to Information and Privacy Branch Mailstop 061 73 Leikin Drive Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0R2



Attach.

Canada

Tab/Onglet 9

Page 620

Page t office 1

<u>SECRET</u>

TO:

Head, BC REGION (BCR)

FROM:

Intelligence Officer BC REGION

FILE:

RECORD OF MEETING

,

PERSON(S) ATTENDING:



Tab/Onglet 6

Page 427



DATE & PLACE OF MEETING: RCMP North Detachment 4020 Fifth Avenue Prince George, BC

PERSONNEL ATTENDING: Head BCR

ADMINISTRATIVE DETAILS:

 On 2012 06 05, and attended the Counter Terrorism Information Officer (CTIO) Workshop held in Prince George from 2012 06 05 to 2012 06 07. also provided a presentation on the role and mandate of the Service. During the presentation, and touched upon issues regarding information sharing and the appropriate mechanisms for disclosure.

TOPICS DISCUSSED:

2) The CTIO course covered the following topics:



- a. Overview of Federal Government Anti-Terrorism Plan and National Security Criminal Investigations
- b. Critical Infrastructure Types, Their Importance / SIR program
- c. National Security Criminal Investigation / Critical Infrastructure
- d. National Energy Board Role and Mandate
- e. CSIS Role and Mandate
- f. Overcoming Stereotypes and sharing information
- h. Phenomenon of Terrorism, Origins of Contemporary Terrorism, Motivations and Justifications of Terrorists
- i. Group profiles: AL QAEDA
- j. Tactics Used by Terrorists / Criminal Extremists

1. Internet & Terrorism

n. Radicalization

o. Terrorist Event Pre Planning Indicators

OPERATIONAL COMMENTS:



Page 42!

1

4 of 4

TAB

9

Classification: Secret Classification : Secret

Recently Minister Oliver wrote the following:

Unfortunately, there are environmental and other radical groups that would seek to block this opportunity to diversify our trade. Their goal is to stop any major project no matter what the cost to Canadian families in lost jobs and economic growth. No forestry. No mining. No oil. No gas. No more hydro-electric dams.

These groups threaten to hijack our regulatory system to achieve their radical ideological agenda. They seek to exploit any loophole they can find, stacking public hearings with bodies to ensure that delays kill good projects. They use funding from foreign special interest groups to undermine Canada's national economic interest.

They attract jet-setting celebrities with some of the largest personal carbon footprints in the world to lecture Canadians not to develop our natural resources. Finally, if all other avenues have failed, they will take a quintessential American approach: sue everyone and anyone to delay the project even further.

They do this because they know it can work. It works because it helps them to achieve their ultimate objective: delay a project to the point it becomes economically unviable.

Anyone looking at the record of approvals for certain major projects across Canada cannot help but come to the conclusion that many of these projects have been delayed too long. In many cases, these projects would create thousands upon thousands of jobs for Canadians, yet they can take years to get started due to the slow, complex and cumbersome federal Government approval process.

For example, the Mackenzie Valley Gas Pipeline review took more than nine years to complete. In comparison, the western expansion of the nationbuilding Canadian Pacific Railway under Sir John A. Macdonald took four years. Under our current system, building a temporary ice arena on a frozen pond in Banff required the approval of the federal government.



1.0

This delayed a decision by two months. Two valuable months to assess something that thousands of Canadians have been doing for over a century.

Our regulatory system must be fair, independent, consider different viewpoints including those of Aboriginal communities, review the evidence dispassionately and then make an objective determination. It must be based on science and the facts.

We believe reviews for major projects can be accomplished in a quicker and more streamlined fashion. We do not want projects that are safe, generate thousands of new jobs and open up new export markets, to die in the approval phase due to unnecessary delays.

Unfortunately, the system seems to have lost sight of this balance over the past years. It is broken. It is time to take a look at it.

I have attached three recently articles on this issue. one by Ezra Levant, where he

writes:

San Francisco's Moore Foundation has poured in more than \$9 million to Aboriginal groups on the north coast of B.C. to oppose resource development. Their Canadian lobby group, Ecotrust, will testify to Leggett also. According to research by Vivian Krause, the U.S. Tides Foundation and their Canadian affiliate have poured millions of dollars into 36 cookie-cutter groups to oppose Canadian resource industries. They all sound so local and real -- the Dogwood Initiative, the Rainforest Action Network, the Natural Resources Defence Council, etc. But they're just tentacles of the same foreign foundation.

Radical groups' spur Tories to speed pipeline review process john ibbitson OTTAWA- From Monday's Globe and Mail Published Monday, Jan. 09, 2012 4:00AM EST The Conservative government will bring forward new rules to greatly shorten environmental reviews of pipelines and other major projects, arguing that "radical groups" are exploiting the reviews to block proposals vital to Canada's economic future.

On the eve of hearings into the proposed Northern Gateway oil pipeline from Alberta to the Pacific coast, Natural Resources Minister Joe Oliver released a strongly-worded open letter Monday condemning some opponents of the pipeline. A copy of the letter was provided in advance to The Globe and Mail.

More related to this story

Oil-sands pipeline hits its highest hurdle An open letter from Natural Resources Minister Joe Oliver 'Foreign money' could gum up pipeline approval, Harper warns

Infographic How the Northern Gateway pipeline intersects with B.C.'s First Nations

Video

Harper concerned about Gateway pipeline hearings The letter warns of "environmental and other radical groups" including "jet-setting celebrities" funded by foreign special interest groups who "threaten to hijack our regulatory system to achieve their radical ideological ends."

They system "is broken," Mr. Oliver concludes in the letter. "It's time to take a look at it."

In an interview Sunday, the minister said his department is examining the existing rules around the environmental review process to prevent the same objections from being made repeatedly by different people or groups.

"What we are looking at is providing definitive timelines from start to finish on the regulatory process," said Mr. Oliver.

"The objective should be that these reviews would no longer go on for many, many years. They would have a definitive timeline that would provide certainty to the participants who are sponsoring the project."

Such changes could not be easily or quickly imposed. "There may be a need for legislation," Mr. Oliver acknowledged, though he couldn't say when such legislation would be introduced.

The minister would not comment on whether the legislation could affect the environmental review of the Gateway itself.

On Friday, Prime Minister Stephen Harper himself warned of "the use of foreign money to really overload the public consultation phase" of the pipeline review, promising his government "will be taking a close look" to ensure "our regulatory processes are effective and deliver decisions in a reasonable amount of time."

Environmentalists as well as agricultural interests and both state and federal politicians succeeded in forcing the Obama administration to delay a decision on the proposed Keystone XL pipeline from Alberta to the southern United States.

Partly in response to that delay, the Harper government is determined to open the market for Alberta oil to China and other Asian markets. The Conservatives see the Northern Gateway pipeline as essential to that goal.

But it isn't outside interests who are raising the greatest objection to the project. Canadian environmental and aboriginal groups are also strongly opposed, claiming that spills from the pipeline and from ships



Page 609

carrying the oil from B.C. could wreak enormous environmental damage to fish and wildlife.

The Conservatives say they are not looking for laxer regulations, just quicker decisions. They tout the work of the Major Projects Management Office, a committee of deputy ministers they created that meets regularly to cut through the regulatory red tape on major projects.

The government claims that this has reduced the regulatory approvals process for many projects from four years to 22 months.

The Warning

An open letter from Natural Resources Minister Joe Oliver From Monday's Globe and Mail Published Monday, Jan. 09, 2012 4:00AM EST Last updated Monday, Jan. 09, 2012 7:47AM EST 10 comments Email Print/License Decrease text size Increase text size Canada is on the edge of an historic choice: to diversify our energy markets away from our traditional trading partner in the United States or to continue with the status quo.

Virtually all our energy exports go to the US. As a country, we must seek new markets for our products and services and the booming Asia-Pacific economies have shown great interest in our oil, gas, metals and minerals.

More related to this story

• 'Radical groups' spur Tories to speed pipeline review process • 'Foreign money' could gum up pipeline approval, Harper warns

•Nearly half B.C. residents support Northern Gateway: poll

Video

Harper concerned about Gateway pipeline hearings For our government, the choice is clear: we need to diversify our markets in order to create jobs and economic growth for Canadians across this country. We must expand our trade with the fast growing Asian economies.

We know that increasing trade will help ensure the financial security of Canadians and their families.

Unfortunately, there are environmental and other radical groups that would seek to block this opportunity to diversify our trade. Their goal is to stop any major project no matter what the cost to Canadian families in lost jobs and economic growth.

No forestry. No mining. No oil. No gas. No more hydro-electric dams.

These groups threaten to hijack our regulatory system to achieve their radical ideological agenda. They seek to exploit any loophole they can find, stacking public hearings with bodies to ensure that delays kill good projects. They use funding from foreign special interest groups to undermine Canada's national economic interest.

1.2

۱.

• '...
They attract jet-setting celebrities with some of the largest personal carbon footprints in the world to lecture Canadians not to develop our natural resources. Finally, if all other avenues have failed, they will take a quintessential American approach: sue everyone and anyone to delay the project even further.

They do this because they know it can work. It works because it helps them to achieve their ultimate objective: delay a project to the point it becomes economically unviable.

Anyone looking at the record of approvals for certain major projects across Canada cannot help but come to the conclusion that many of these projects have been delayed too long. In many cases, these projects would create thousands upon thousands of jobs for Canadians, yet they can take years to get started due to the slow, complex and cumbersome federal Covernment approval process.

For example, the Mackenzie Valley Gas Pipeline review took more than nine years to complete. In comparison, the western expansion of the nationbuilding Canadian Pacific Railway under Sir John A. Macdonald took four years. Under our current system, building a temporary ice arena on a frozen pond in Banff required the approval of the federal government. This delayed a decision by two months. Two valuable months to assess something that thousands of Canadians have been doing for over a century.

Our regulatory system must be fair, independent, consider different viewpoints including those of Aboriginal communities, review the evidence dispassionately and then make an objective determination. It must be based on science and the facts.

We believe reviews for major projects can be accomplished in a quicker and more streamlined fashion. We do not want projects that are safe, generate thousands of new jobs and open up new export markets, to die in the approval phase due to unnecessary delays.

Unfortunately, the system seems to have lost sight of this balance over the past years. It is broken. It is time to take a look at it.

It is an urgent matter of Canada's national interest.

'Foreign money' could gum up pipeline approval, Harper warns gloria galloway OTTAWA- Globe and Mail Update Posted on Friday, January 6, 2012 4:32PM EST 1466 comments Email Print/License Decrease text size Increase text size The Prime Minister is threatening to prevent foreign environmental interests from delaying the approval of a pipeline that would take bitumen from the Alberta oil sands to the West Coast for shipment to Asian markets.

The petroleum lobby group EthicalOil.org, which wants "foreigners and foreign groups" to be excluded from hearings on the proposed Northern

Gateway pipeline that are to begin next week, appears to have a sympathetic ear in Stephen Harper.

More related to this story •China's oil-sands deal will have lasting impact •Of Beijing, bitumen and Ottawa's foreign-takeover review •Canada 'very serious' about selling its oil to China, Harper says Video Harper concerned about Gateway pipeline hearings Video Enbridge pipeline could face uphill battle Infographic Map of the Northern Gateway pipeline "Growing concern has been expressed to me about the use of foreign money to really overload the public consultation phase of regulatory hearings just for the purpose of slowing down the process," the Prime Minister told reporters Friday in Edmonton.

"This is something that is not good for the Canadian economy and the government of Canada will be taking a close look at how we can ensure that our regulatory processes are effective and deliver decisions in a reasonable amount of time."

On Thursday, Kathryn Marshall, a spokesperson for EthicalOil.org. said Canadians have much at stake in the construction of the pipeline and "must take a stand against foreigners and their lobbying groups interfering in our decision."

The group says the organizations registered to give testimony at the pipeline hearings include companies owned by foreign interests including. Venezuelan President Hugo Chavez's oil company, CITGO.

Mr. Harper said the government would not interfere in the regulatory process.

But, particularly in light of decisions made in the United States, where the construction of TransCanada's Keystone XL pipeline has been threatened by the lobbying of environmentalists, Mr. Harper said his government is clear about the necessity for broadening Canada's markets for energy products.

"I think it is particularly essential for this country that, over time, we have the capacity to sell our energy products into the growing markets of Asia," he said.

"We want to ensure in Canada that we have a regulator system that protects our environment and obviously protects worker safety and various other community interests," Mr. Harper said. "At the same time, though, we have to have processes in Canada that come to a decision in a reasonable amount of time and processes that cannot be hijacked."

Mike Hudema, a climate and energy campaigner for Greenpeace Canada, said it is refreshing to know that environmentalists, community groups, indigenous groups and average people concerned about health, water, land

7 of 10

and a global climate crisis can both worry and stop the largest, dirtiest, multinational oil companies.

"Tar sands companies with multimillion dollar public relations budgets backed by a government that takes its talking points from a former tobacco lobbyist are feeling the pain of the toxic truth about what they are doing to the planet," said Mr. Hudema. "It is time for the Harper government to pull its head out of the tar sands and look at who the true climate criminals are."

The Prime Minister was also asked about a proposal by Alberta Premier Alison Redford to create a national energy strategy that would pull together Alberta's oil sands, the hydro power of British Columbia, offshore oil in the Atlantic and Ontario Premier Dalton McGuinty's greenenergy agenda.

Mr. Harper said he has been broached by Ms. Redford about the idea and is anticipating a meeting in the near future with Alberta and with several other provinces to get more details

"The approach of the federal government for some years has been to have an energy sector that is primarily driven by market demand," the Prime Minister said. "That policy I think generally has served this part of the country and served the country as a whole quite well."

The Conservative government has made it clear it considers the oil sands to be critical to Canada's economic growth and job creation.

On Friday, Statistics Canada said 17,500 new jobs were created in this country in December.

Mr. Harper said that was good news as were the job numbers from the United States where 200,000 more people were working last month than in November.

"This has been one of the things that has been lacking over the past couple of years," he said. "We have seen 600,000 net new jobs created in Canada, but we haven't been seeing the kind of job growth we need in the United States to help with our trade."

Publication: The Edmonton Sun Headline: A Non-foreign Affair Pipeline Hearings Allowing Foreign Input Is Ridiculous -- We Don't Need Another Country's Permission Pub Date: Sunday, January 08, 2012 Recv Date: 08/01/2012 3:50:48 AM Page: 18 Section: Editorial/Opinion Edition: Final Byline: EZRA LEVANT

Illustration: photo by Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency Website Pipeline review panel chair Sheila Leggett, centre, toured parts of the proposed project route, along with other board members, above, by helicopter in late September and early October. Who should decide whether Canada should build an oil pipeline to our west

coast -- Canadian citizens or foreign interests?

That's what the fight over the Northern Gateway pipeline is about. Sure, it's also about \$20 billion a year for the Canadian economy and thousands of jobs. It's about opening up export markets in Asia. It's about enough new tax dollars to pay for countless hospitals and schools.

But it's really about Canadian sovereignty. Do we get to make our own national decisions, or will we let foreign interests interfere? The answer should be obvious to any self-respecting Canadian: This is a Canadian matter, and Canadians should decide it. Unlike the proposed Keystone XL pipeline that would have crossed into the U.S., we don't need another country's permission. It's all Canada.

The federal government's review panel begins public hearings this week. But the bureaucrat in charge, Sheila Leggett, has done something bizarre: She opened up the hearings to foreign citizens, foreign lobbyists and even foreign governments.

Here's what Leggett says on her website: "Our job is to make sure that everyone who wants to talk to us about this project has an opportunity to be heard," she says. "We'll take whatever time it takes to ensure that everybody's views are heard."

The world's Canada-bashers laughed, then signed up to testify. Almost 5,000 of them. Including Hugo Chavez's state-owned oil company, CITGO. Including foreigners from Uruguay to Louisiana to Italy to Austria. Then something really crazy happened. To ensure all those foreigners have time to talk, Leggett announced she was adding an extra year to her review.

Instead of telling foreigners to butt out, Leggett told Canadian workers to lear on their shovels while she listens to people who don't live here, work here or have any connection here.

It's not just foreigners. A classroom of children from Tahayghen Elementary School signed up. Maybe Leggett will build in nap time and snack time to her hearings.

Captain Jack Sparrow has signed up. Seriously. So has "Cave Man." And 20 different people, all with the same e-mail address: sawyer<P>hayduke.ca. John Stevenson signed up under his own name. Then he signed up again as J. Stevenson. Same address. And on and on.

It's a circus. But the biggest threat isn't the clowns. It's the wellpaid foreign professional lobbyists who used Leggett's weakness to take over the process.

Like the New York-based Rockefeller Foundation. They've hired the West Coast Environmental Law Foundation to "prevent the development of a pipeline and tanker port" in B.C.

That lobby group took \$200,000 to do the Rockefellers' bidding. They're signed up to speak at the hearings.

COOKIE-CUTTER GROUPS

San Francisco's Moore Foundation has poured in more than \$9 million to Aboriginal groups on the north coast of B.C. to oppose resource development.

Their Canadian lobby group, Ecotrust, will testify to Leggett also.

Tab/Onglet 9

AGC1036

According to research by Vivian Krause, the U.S. Tides Foundation and their Canadian affiliate have poured millions of dollars into 36 cockiecutter groups to oppose Canadian resource industries. They all sound so local and real -- the Dogwood Initiative, the Rainforest Action Network, the Natural Resources Defence Council, etc. But they're just tentacles of the same foreign foundation. I don't blame foreign billionaires like the Rockefellers. It's normal for them to want to control other people, even other countries. CANADIAN PUPPETS I blame their Canadian puppets for taking money to undermine their own country's interests.

One group, the Pembina Institute, was recently caught soliciting money from a foreign embassy to fight against Canada (they got \$60,000). On Friday, Stephen Harper warned that the pipeline review "cannot be hijacked (by) foreign money to really overload the public consultation phase of the regulatory hearings, just for the purpose of slowing down the process." So who's going to win?

Foreign billionaires? Or Canada?

The prime minister has now taken sides publicly. It will be fascinating to see if Leggett listens to him -- or to Hugo Chavez and the Rockefellers instead. Supplier: SunMedia







Dissemination:

CSIS Intelligence Report





Information:



l of 2

Tab/Onglet 3

Page 60

AGC1037



CSIS comment:

provided to your department/agency.

Your comments are essential to ensure the relevance of CSIS Intelligence Reports being



CAVEAT

This document is the property of the Canadian Security Intelligence Service and may constitute "special operational information" as defined in the Security of Information Act. It is loaned to your agency/department in confidence. The document must not be reclassified or disseminated, in whole or in part, without the consent of the originator.

<u>Canadian departments, agencies or organizations</u>: This document constitutes a record which may be subject to mandatory exemption under the Access to Information Act or the Privacy Act. The information or intelligence may also be protected by the provisions of the Canada Evidence Act. The information or intelligence must not be disclosed or used as evidence without prior consultation with the Canadian Security Intelligence Service.

Foreign agencies or organizations: This document is loaned to your agency/department in confidence, for internal use only. It must not be reclassified or disseminated, in whole or in part, without the consent of the originator. If you are subject to freedom of information or other laws which do not allow you to protect this information from disclosure, notify CSIS immediately and return the document.

udan uning second and s

2 of 2





RE / OBJET:	 	

		l		
SYNOPSIS /	SOMMAIRE:			
	1			
	<u> </u>		-	

INFORMATION / RENSEIGNEMENTS:



2 of 11



Page 259



~

٩

Page 260



Page 262



Page 263



Page 265

-
3
N 12 1 − 1 1 −
•.
x
· ·
-
, - ‡ , - ‡
مربع المربع ا
-
-
×.
2°

Tab/Onglet 4

AGC1038

TAB

OPS-702-1 PROCEDURES - HUMAN SOURCES - CASE MANAGEMENT

1. INTRODUCTION

Scope

1.1 These procedures outline the considerations, approval authorities and reporting requirements related to the validation, recruitment and management of a human source

Definitions

1.2 For definitions of specific terms used in procedures, refer to "Glossary of Terms and Definitions".

Temporary Authority

1.3 Unless otherwise specified, when a specific position or title is mentioned in procedures, the authorities and responsibilities bestowed on that position or title apply to any employee performing the duties of the position or title in an acting capacity.

Delegation of Authority

- 1.4 Where identified in procedures, the term "or designate" denotes the option to delegate an authority to an assigned individual or position.
- 1.4.1 Notwithstanding any delegation of authority contained within these procedures, any issue that is likely to cause embarrassment or controversy for the Government of Canada (GoC) overrides the delegated authority and must be immediately reported to the appropriate Service Executives via Human Sources and Operations Security (HSOS).

2. VALIDATION PROCESS

- 2.1 The validation process comprises an assessment of:
 - a) the information provided by a human source
 - b) any other information provided by a human source;



New publication



1



New publication



Tab/Onglet 11

2

Page 747

 \mathbf{s}_{i}

φ.,

. . .

5

	2011-04-01
2,6	

New publication

3

Secret

AGC1039

Secret 2011-04-01

,

2

51

Corroboration of Reported Information



2.8 Notwithstanding a human source's **sector** and reporting history **sector** an employee qualifies the reported information as to its accuracy as part of the human source validation process.



Reporting History



New publication

4

5 of 14



Page 750

Secret 2011-04-01



Page 75%

AGC1039

Secret 2011-04-01

5.2	The RDG approves directing a human source	e to participate in activities
	×	
	-	

New publication

-

- --

Secret 2011-04-01

1.



New publication

Tab/Onglet 11

8



9

.

OPS-702-1 Operations Policies and Procedures Secret 2011-04-01 3.20 1. 1. 1. New publication 10 Tab/Onglet 11 Page 75 11 of 14 AGC1039

Secret 2011-04-01



New publication

11



Page 756

Secret 2011-04-01

* 3. * 4

1

 $\sqrt{2}$



11. HUMAN SOURCE LITIGATION

11.1 All litigation matters involving human sources must be brought to the attention of the DG HSOS

New publication

12

Secret 2011-04-01

as soon as possible.

Approval Authorities

11.2 The DG HSOS approves all human source

New publication 2011-04-01

Tab/Onglet 11

13

Page 758



DATE 201<u>10127</u>





	·····				
		ł			
INFORMATION /	RENSEIGNEME	NTS:		· .	
		ſ			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
			-1		
L					
				_	

Page 283

2 of 21



Page 284

•



Page 28

Tab/Onglet 4

s

\$¹

•

3.


5 of 21



ş.,

3

2

\$2





Page 28

s [

ş

ас 122 Астр

13

5

1



Page 290



Page 29: 1

ý.

•

3.

1

5









Page 29





16 of 21

an shu^{rr}

3 :

2

à



	······	

Page 29!

18 of 21

2

1



Page 300

19 of 21



20 of 21





DATE 20110118



RE/OBJET:		
SYNOPSIS / SO	MAIRE:	
INFORMATION /	ENSEIGNEMENTS:	

Page 25!

Tab/Onglet 4

19 19 19

Ŷ





Page 261

ñ

ŝ,

, ,





Page 26:

ų,

ĩ





Page 26!



Page 266









Page 26

.

3. 3.

8

 $\frac{1}{2}$

1

Ń

RENSEIGNEMENTS		
	L	



χÌ.

ä

ر. د

į

Tab/Onglet 4

14 of 24

i ab/Onglet





Page 27:



AGC1041



3

100 A 100 A

Page 27



Page 276


3

4

3.4





Page 279

λ.

1

ŝ

a'





Page 281 🖉



DATE 20100727



RE / OBJET:



Tab/Onglet 4

5

5.

à

Y

INFORMATION / RENSEIGNEM	IENTS:



Page 24!

Ň

5-

sàn c

.

¥ -

x

3 of 7





5 of 7



6 of 7





.

Page 25

AGC1042



RECORD OF MEETING

PERSON(S) ATTENDING:

Conference of approximately 100 representatives of Energy Sector Stakeholders. The main contacts pertinent to included:

Adriana POLOZ, RCMP Criminal Intelligence

Please refer to DATE & PLACE OF MEETING:

for further contact information.

Classified Briefing for Energy Sector Stakeholders. Reception on 2010 05 11 at the Chateau Laurier., Ottawa. Conference at CSIS HQ, 1941 Ogilvie, Road, Ottawa, ON, 2010 05 12.

PERSONNEL ATTENDING:



TOPICS DISCUSSED:

The following presentations were given:

SECRET

Tab/Onglet 7



- RCMP Suspicious Incident Reporting (SIR) update. Presentation by Irene LAMERE and Wendy NICOL, RCMP.

-Debrief of Vancouver 2010 Olympic games. Presentation by Adriana POLOZ, RCMP.

- Electricity Infrastructure Protection During the 2010 Olympic Games. Presentation by Doug POWELL, BC Hydro.

- G8 / G20 updates. Presentation by CSIS.

- World Energy Conference (WEC) update. The WEC will be held in Montreal from Sept 12 - 16. Presentation by Jean-Guy OUIMET, Hydro-Quebec.

This conference was an excellent networking opportunity for **the energy sector** and provided real insight into the security practises in the energy sector and avenues for cooperation between CSIS and energy infrastructure partners.

OPERATIONAL COMMENTS:

A request has been made for copies of the a/n presentations. Detailed information on issues pertinent to desks will be forwarded when it is received.

ADMINISTRATIVE DETAILS:

All attendees of this conference were cleared to SECRET and presentation material was up to that level. This was a CSIS conference and copies of the presentations should be made available.

Tab/Onglet 7

12

Operations Policies and Procedures

OPS-100

2010-04-28

OPS-100 TARGETING - SECTION 12 CSIS ACT

1. INTRODUCTION

Objective

1.1 To state the principles and directives governing the approval process for an initial request, a renewal, an upgrade, a downgrade or a termination of a targeting level pursuant to the CSIS Act.

Scope

- 1.2 This policy and related procedures outline the principles, as well as the organizational and functional responsibilities, pertaining to targeting.
- 1.3 Procedures for the preparation of an Assessment Report to initiate, renew, modify, or terminate a targeting level are covered in OPS-100-1, "Procedures Targeting Requests for Approval".

Policy Centre

1.4 The policy centre for this policy is the Targeting and Warrants Section (TWS) of the Deputy Director of Operations (DDO) Secretariat.

Authorities and References

- 1.5 CSIS Act
- 1.6 Anti-terrorism Act
- 1.7 Ministerial Direction CSIS Operations (2008 10 29)
- 1.8 OPS-201, "Conduct of Operations General"
- 1.9 OPS-209, "Warrant Acquisition Section 12"
- 1.10 OPS-501, "Operational Reporting"
- 1.11 OPS-601 to OPS-603, "Authorized Disclosure of Operational Information and Intelligence"

1.12 CSIS Operational Message System (COMS) User Manual

Definitions

- 1.13 For definitions of specific terms used in this policy, readers should refer to OPS-700 "Glossary of Terms and Definitions".
- 1.14 For the purpose of targeting policies and procedures, the term "targeting decision" refers to the final determination made by the approving authority of an Assessment Report requesting to initiate, renew, upgrade, downgrade or terminate a targeting level.

Temporary Authority

Παγε Ι οφ 7

Tab/Onglet 11

AGC1044

ŝ.

1.15 Unless otherwise specified, when a specific position or title is mentioned in this policy, the authorities and responsibilities bestowed on that position or title apply to any employee performing the duties of the position or title in an acting capacity.

2. POLICY STATEMENT

- 2.1 The Targeting Policy is established under the authority of the Director pursuant to subsection 6(1), *CSIS Act*, and directs the Service's targeting process.
- 2.2 Further to a Memorandum from Cabinet of national intelligence collection priorities the Minister of Public Safety issues a Ministerial Directive outlining general collection requirements. The DDO then provides a strategic directive to operationalize the Ministerial Directive.
- 2.3 Targeting will be governed by the following fundamental principles:
 - a) the rule of law must be observed;
 - b) the means must be proportional to the gravity and imminence of the threat;
 - c) the greater the risk associated with a particular activity, the higher the authority required for approval; and
 - d) with regard to the use of intrusive techniques:
 - i) the need for their use must be weighed against possible damage to civil liberties and to Canadian fundamental institutions.
 - ii) the least intrusive techniques must be used first, except in emergency situations or where less intrusive techniques would not be proportionate to the gravity and imminence of the threat; and
 - iii) the level of authority required for approving their use must be commensurate with their intrusiveness and with any risks associated to using them.
- 2.4 Lawful advocacy, protest or dissent may not be investigated unless such activities are carried out in conjunction with threats as defined in section 2, CSIS Act.
- 2.5 When there is uncertainty concerning the lawfulness of an operation, technique or action, the issue must be referred to the appropriate Director General (DG) for direction.
- 2.6 When approving an Assessment Report, a Headquarters (HQ) DG or Regional Director General (RDG) must consider the intrusiveness of each technique allowed under the approved targeting level and may impose special restrictions if deemed appropriate considering the gravity and imminence of the threat.

2.7

Παγε 2 οφ 7

- ----

Tab/Onglet 11

2 of 7

Page 834

OPS-100

3. **RESPONSIBILITIES**

Directors General

- 3.1 An HQ DG or RDG is responsible for:
 - a) approving a targeting decision
 - b) ensuring targeting decisions are consistent with the Service's mandate and policies, and current Government of Canada (GoC) intelligence requirements;
 - c) ensuring that consultation between Regions and the appropriate HQ Branch(es) has taken place on all targeting decisions;
 - d) assessing the intrusiveness of the techniques to be used to collect information and intelligence;
 - c) assessing the reliability of the information in an Assessment Report;
 - f) assessing the implications, magnitude, seriousness and immediacy of the activities suspected of constituting the threat; and
 - g) appointing a Targeting Coordinator.

Chief, Deputy Director Operations Secretariat

- 3.2 The Chief DDO Secretariat is responsible for:
 - a) providing the DDO, Assistant Director Operations (ADO), and the Assistant Director Legal Services, within five (5) working days from the date of approval, written confirmation of all targeting decisions pursuant to this policy:
 - b) updating the targeting database within three (3) working days from the receipt of a notification of a targeting decision from a Region or HQ Branch;
 - c) maintaining the targeting database including all active and inactive targets;
 - d) providing advice and guidance to the Targeting Coordinators in HQ and the Regions to ensure the consistent application of the targeting policy;
 - e) providing Security Screening Branch, on a regular basis, an updated list of targeting decisions;
 - f) maintaining statistical information and producing, on request, accounts of targeting decisions;
 - g)
 - h) performing other administrative functions as directed by the DDO.

Deputy Director Operations

Παγε 3 οφ 7

Tab/Onglet 11

3.3

- 3.4 The DDO is responsible for:
 - a) managing the application of this policy and providing direction to resolve issues arising from its implementation; and
 - b) ensuring that targeting decisions are consistent with the Service's mandate and policies, and current GoC intelligence requirements.

Director

- 3.5 Only the Director can approve targeting pursuant to subsection 2(d), CSIS Act.
- 3.6 The Director is responsible for reporting to the Minister of Public Safety where:
 - a) there is a well-founded risk of serious violence;
 - b) there is a potential that a CSIS activity may have significant adverse impact on Canadian interests, such as:
 - i) discrediting the Service or the Government of Canada;
 - ii) giving rise to public controversy;
 - iii) a clear risk to human life;
 - iv) affecting domestic interdepartmental or intergovernmental relations;
 - v) affecting Canadian relations with any country or international organization of states; and/or,
 - vi) contravening any of the guidelines set out in the Ministerial Direction on CSIS Operations with respect to the management of the Service.

3.7

4. TARGETS OF THE SERVICE

4.1 Information from a foreign state or agency may be used when submitting an Assessment Report, taking into consideration the human rights record of that foreign state or agency and the specific circumstances under which the information was obtained.

Persons

4.2 A targeting level may be approved by an RDG or an HQ DG to collect information and intelligence on the activities of persons who may on reasonable grounds be suspected of constituting a threat to the security of Canada pursuant to s. 2, *CSIS Act.*

Παγε 4 οφ 7



Tab/Onglet 11

Page 836

Groups and Organizations

- 4.3 A targeting level may be approved by an HQ DG to collect information and intelligence on the activities of a group of persons or an organization, including its general membership, where there are reasonable grounds to suspect:
 - a) the objectives or activities of the group or organization constitute a threat to the security of Canada pursuant to s. 2, *CSIS Act*; and
 - b) participants in the group or organization understand and sympathize with threat-related objectives or activities.
- 4.3.1 Collection of information and intelligence on a group of persons or an organization may be used to determine:
 - a) the elements, structure, policies or plans of the group or organization as they relate to threats to the security of Canada; and
 - b) a person's relationship with, or role within, a group or organization.
- 4.4 Once a determination has been made that a member of a group or of an organization is involved in a threat-related activity and that further information and intelligence on that person is necessary, a separate targeting level on that person will be obtained.

Issues or Events

- 4.5 A targeting level may be approved to collect information and intelligence on the activities associated with an issue or event in relation to which the Service has reasonable grounds to suspect these activities constitute a threat to the security of Canada.
- 4.6 Once a determination has been made that a person, a group of persons, or an organization is involved in a threat-related activity associated to an issue or event, and that further information and intelligence on that person, group of persons or organization is necessary, a separate targeting level on that person, group of persons or organization will be obtained.

5. GENERAL AUTHORITY

- 5.1 The following activities do not require a targeting level and are exempt from this policy:
 - a) collecting incidental information which may be disclosed pursuant to subsection 19.2(a) to
 (d), CSIS Act;
 - b) collecting information pursuant to s. 15 and s. 16, CSIS Act;
 - c) d)
 - e) conducting internal investigations pursuant to s. 8 and s. 20, CSIS Act;

 $\Pi \alpha \gamma \epsilon 5 o \phi 7$

Tab/Onglet 11

Secret 2010-04-28

- f) researching and using internal records and databanks;
- g) researching and using open information;
- h) accepting and reporting unsolicited information; and
- i) placing individuals on watch lists, e.g. the Citizenship and Immigration Canada Enforcement Information Index (EII).
- 5.1.1 Employees reporting unsolicited information are responsible for ensuring the information is reported on the appropriate file and retained in the appropriate database. It is also the employees' responsibility to assess the origin and reliability of the information and the need to report it if the information originates from a foreign organization with a poor human rights record (see OPS-501 "Operational Reporting").



Παγε 6 οφ 7

Tab/Onglet 11

Page 838

Operations Policies and Procedures

8. COOPERATION WITH DOMESTIC OR FOREIGN AGENCIES

- 8.1 Collection of information and intelligence by the Service pursuant to s. 12, *CSIS Act*, in cooperation with a Canadian federal, provincial or a territorial government department, a Canadian law enforcement authority, or a foreign police, security or intelligence organization, will only be undertaken when approved under the terms of this policy.
- 8.1.1 The collection of information and intelligence described above will be in compliance with all other Service policies.

Παγε 7 οφ 7

Page 83

7 of 7

Tab/Onglet 11

2010-04-28

OPS-100-1 PROCEDURES - TARGETING - ASSESSMENT REPORT

1. INTRODUCTION

Scope

- 1.1 To provide guidance for the preparation and dissemination of an Assessment Report.
- 1.2 For authorities and responsibilities related to a specific targeting level, employees will refer to OPS-101, "Targeting Section 12 Level 1" and OPS-102, "Targeting Section 12 Level 2".

Governing Policy

1.3 OPS-100, "Targeting - Section 12 CSIS Act".

2. DIRECTIVES ON ASSESSMENT REPORT

- 2.1 Prior to completing an Assessment Report, employees will consult with their supervisor or targeting coordinator to ensure they use the current edition of
- 2.1.1 Where there is some question as to the appropriate format for a request or the content required, supervisors, targeting coordinator and/or the policy centre should be consulted.
- 2.2 An Assessment Report provides a brief written review of the threat related activities of a person, group, issue or event, and assesses whether:



- c) the collection activities remain in compliance with Service policies.
- 2.3 An Assessment Report will be submitted:



Reporting file

- 2.4 Assessment Reports are not required for an initial request, for the renewal or for the termination of reporting files approved by a Headquarters (HQ) Director General (DG).
- 3. CONTENT
- 3.1 An Assessment Report should include:

Παγε 1 οφ 4

Tab/Onglet 11



3.2 All Assessment Reports should clearly separate and distinguish:

- a) facts;
- b) analyses and/or opinions; and
- c) the request or recommendation.
- 3.3 All factual information used to request a targeting level must be attributable to documented sources and contained in supporting documents retained
- 3.4 If the activities suspected of constituting a threat are carried out in conjunction with lawful advocacy, protest or dissent, the circumstances must be fully explained in the Assessment Report.

4. **PROCEDURE**

- 4.1 When completing an Assessment Report, employees will use the appropriate template in and will ensure that the information included in the applicable paragraphs is accurately reported,
- 4.2 Prior to forwarding the request, the employee must ensure that the Assessment Report accurately reflects the current position of each signing officer including acting positions.
- 4.3 The information submitted should include:

Παγε 2 οφ 4

Page 84

Tab/Onglet 11

2 of 4

s,



Groups and Organizations









Tab/Onglet 11

Page 842

OPS-100-1	Operations Policies and Procedures	Secret 2010-04-28
5. DISSEMIN	ATION	
5.1		
		Παγε 4 οφ 4

Page 84:

AGC1045







SYNOPSIS / SOMMAIRE:

Tab/Onglet 4



:	
ę ⁵	
:	
.3	





,

5 of 35

	\$ ⁴²
	9 (s)
	er en er transf
) 1
	·····
	**
	.*.

	 	 	 	-
·		 		
		<u> </u>		-
	···			
L.				
	····			
:				

8 of 35

ъ

1



9 of 35

		- -
		-

Page 22°,

)))

3


11 of 35







Page 22:

Ń

÷.

x

.

53



1,

Page 226











Page 230

J	
	-
	1
	1.1
	. **

5 . ï



Page 232

21 of 35

·····		
1		`
		а
		:
		\$
·		
		ق
		2

22 of 35

ş.





ŝ. 3

Page 23:

24 of 35



Page 236







3 -Ś 2 λ÷

Page 23

28 of 35





3 2 Σ_{\pm}

Page 24

Tab/Onglet 4 30 of 35





а.



33 of 35





3 P

40

3.1

N 1997

•,

3



From: To:		
Date: 2005-07-06 5:42:38 PM		
Subject: Fwd. Re: MEETING WITH NATIONAL ENERGY BOARD		
Classification: Secret Classification: Secret		
Classification: Secret Classification: Secret		
** In absenceTks**		
		-
Theers and thanks,	 (-)(-	
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>		
Classification: Secret lot for PA / Ne pas Classer		
will PA the correspondence of		
will PA the correspondence on		

1 of 6

>>> 06/07/05 3:03 pm >>> Classification: Secret Classification: Secret Not for PA / Ne pas Classer

Cheers,

>>> Classification: Secret Classification: Secret Classification: Secret Not for PA / Ne pas Classer

Let us know.

Cheers.



>>> Classification: Secret Classification: Secret Classification: Secret Not for PA / Ne pas Classer

I hope this help.



>>> 06/07/05 11:14 am >>> **Classification:** Secret Classification: Secret Not for PA / Ne pas Classer Any questions, please drop in. Txs. 06/07/05 11:10 am >>> >>> **Classification: Secret Classification: Secret** Not for PA / Ne pas Classer Thanks Cheers, >>> 06/07/05 8:34 am >>> **Classification: Secret Classification: Secret** Not for PA / Ne pas Classer I don't have an immediate solution, but it is an interesting string on which to tug.

Please keep me informed.

Tab/Onglet 1

AGC1047

 χ^{1}

¥ [

v.

×.

 $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$

Cheers.

>>: 06/06/05 5:04 pm >>> Classification: Secret Classification: Secret Not for PA / Ne pas Classer

>>> 06/06/05 2:41 pm >>> Classification: Secret Classification: Secret Not for PA / Ne pas Classer

Cheers.

>>> Classification: Secret Classification: Secret

Further to various correspondance regarding a request for assistance by the National Energy Board (NEB) located in Calgary, the



following is a summary of discussions with the NEB locally.

On 2005 05 16 I met with Leo Jansen and Jamie Kereluk (both of Operations Compliance) at the NEB office in Calgary. For the uninitiated, the NEB is an federal agency headquartered in Calgary (as opposed to Ottawa) which regulates the pipeline industry and energy development in Canada. They are responsible for compliance (and as of recently, security) issues when pipelines cross provincial or national boundaries, for hydroelectic issues when they cross international borders, etc. The purpose of our meeting was to discuss the roles and tasks of both the NEB and the Service (they were unfamiliar with details of our role) and establish contact locally. Both Jansen and Kereluk hold level II clearances.

The NEB, as a federal agency, is independent, but answers to Parliament through the Minister of Natural Resources (NRCan). Given that they are located in Calgary, the NEB often feel 'left out' of discussions with federal partners, yet feel a need to have some link into the federal security apparatus.

The NEB recently completed a study of critical infrastructure under their purview across Canada. They are now wondering what to do with the report given its classification (Confidential). I suggested they may wish to speak with PSEPC on the matter.

for our purposes, the NEB advised that they currently receive only unclassified ITAC reports (via PSEPC in Edmonton), and these through a secure fax in their Calgary office. They do not receive applicable Laser reporting or classified ITAC reports, and currently do not have any electronic means of receiving them (save the fax). Jansen requested that the Service consider a way in which Laser reporting could be provided to them and advised that they have secure facilities to store up to TS (I am not aware who completed the certification).

As the NEB is a federal agency with a specific security mandate, it is logical that they should be included in Laser dissemination when the reporting refers to threats to the energy sector. Given the potential difficulty of transmitting classified documents to them electronically at this time, Calgary District will undertake to provide hard copies locally if so directed by PR and HQ.

Cheers

PS: Pardon the delay in filing this note as we were awaiting assignment of a file number.





15

Page 16



From: To: PA PA Date: 2005-06-08 9:19:25 AM Subject: Fwd: Re: MEETING WITH NATIONAL ENERGY BOARD		
iClassification: Secret Classification: Secret Not for PA / Ne pas Classer		
PA:		
>>> and the second of the seco		
I will PA the correspondence on		
>>> Classification: Secret Classification: Secret Classification: Secret Not for PA / Ne pas Classer		
Cheers,		
>>> 06/07/05 12:38 pm >>> Classification: Secret Classification: Secret Not for PA / Ne pas Classer		

Let us know.	
Cheers.	
>>> Classification: Secret Classification: Secret Classification: Secret Not for PA / Ne pas Classer	ĺ.
I hope this help.	
>>> Classification: Secret	
Classification: Secret Not for PA / Ne pas Classer	
Any questions, please drop in.	
Txs.	
>>> 06/07/05 11:10 am >>>	
Classification: Secret Classification: Secret	
Not for PA / Ne pas Classer	
Thanks	
Cheers,	
>>> Classification: Secret Classification: Secret	
Not for PA / Ne pas Classer	

1.



I don't have an immediate solution, but it is an interesting string on which to tug.

Please keep me informed.

Cheers.

>>> 06/06/05 5:04 pm >>> Classification: Secret Classification: Secret Not for PA / Ne pas Classer

>>> Classification: Secret Classification: Secret Classification: Secret Not for PA / Ne pas Classer





Cheers.

>>> Classification: Secret Classification: Secret

Further to various correspondance regarding a request for assistance by the National Energy Board (NEB) located in Calgary, the following is a summary of discussions with the NEB locally.

On 2005 05 16 I met with Leo Jansen and Jamie Kereluk (both of Operations Compliance) at the NEB office in Calgary. For the uninitiated, the NEB is an federal agency headquartered in Calgary (as opposed to Ottawa) which regulates the pipeline industry and energy development in Canada. They are responsible for compliance (and as of recently, security) issues when pipelines cross provincial or national boundaries, for hydroelectic issues when they cross international borders, etc. The purpose of our meeting was to discuss the roles and tasks of both the NEB and the Service (they were unfamiliar with details of our role) and establish contact locally. Both Jansen and Kereluk hold level II clearances.

The NEB, as a federal agency, is independent, but answers to Parliament through the Minister of Natural Resources (NRCan). Given that they are located in Calgary, the NEB often feel 'left out' of discussions with federal partners, yet feel a need to have some link into the federal security apparatus.

The NEB recently completed a study of critical infrastructure under their purview across Canada. They are now wondering what to do with the report given its classification (Confidential). I suggested they may wish to speak with PSEPC on the matter.

for our purposes, the NEB advised that they currently receive only unclassified ITAC reports (via PSEPC in Edmonton), and these through a secure fax in their Calgary office. They do not receive applicable Laser reporting or classified ITAC reports, and currently do not have any electronic means of receiving them (save the fax). Jansen requested that the Service consider a way in which Laser reporting could be provided to them and advised that they have secure facilities to store up to TS (I am not aware who completed the certification).

As the NEB is a federal agency with a specific security mandate, it is logical that they should be included in Laser dissemination when the reporting refers to threats to the energy sector. Given the potential difficulty of transmitting classified documents to them electronically at this time, Calgary District will undertake to provide hard copies locally if so directed by PR and HQ.

Cheers,

PS: Pardon the delay in filing this note as we were awaiting assignment of a file number.



Page 16 *
TAB



Tab/Onglet 1



3 of 5



Tab/Onglet 1

AGC1049



5 of 5

	SECURITY INTELLIGENCE REVIEW COMMITTEE						
whe	PROTECTE		v	SECRE hen completed		TOP when complete	SECRET
Date	before the Sec section 역	aurit	y Intell	implaint filed by R igence Review Com anadian Security Int SIRC File # 150	mittee e <i>lligenc</i>	pursuant lo ce Service Act, R.S.C	. 1985, c. C-23 ISE # 1-46
	С			CSIS		SIRC	
1	BOD Vollof (white)	Z	1	BOD in come	1	(BOD) (bune)	1
2	BOD Vol Zof	Z	2 Δ	BOD-VOLIA	2		2
3	Suplement BOD white	ŝ	3 K	9160-1611C	3		3
4			4Α	BOD- VOI K	4		4
5				5 (00) COE	5		5
6			6 A	30)-Vol3	6		0
7		- Aller	(FA	BOD-VO14 (ma)	7		7
8			⁸ A	BOD-Vol3 URA) BOD-Vol4 URA) BOD-Vol5 URA)	8		8
9			9A	themanit	9		8
*			10		10		10

terhibil costs 24 remains & costs date

é

Page 219 of 336

		3		f			
11		11		11		11	
12		12		12		12	
13		13		13		13	
۲ ۵				10			
14		14		14		14	
15		15		15		15	
16		16		16		16	
17		17		17		17	
					: 		
18		18		18		18	
				-			
19		19		19		19	
20		20		50		20	
21		21		21		21	
<u></u>							
22		22		22		22	
****		-					
23		23		23		23	
	·						
24		24		24		24	
25		25		25		25	
26		26	+	26		26	
423.J		6.37		20		20	
							. ^{- 2}
27		27		27		27	

Page 229 of 326











SNSRO

SECRET

1- Did the Service collect, by investigation or otherwise, information or intelligence? about groups [Leadnow, ForestEthics Advocacy Association, Council of Canadians, Dogwood Initiative, EcoSociety, Sierra Club of British Columbia, Idle No More] or individuals for their activities in relation to the Northern Gateway **Pipeline Project?** 2- If yes, was the collection of information or intelligence lawful? 3- Did the Service provide information relating to individuals or groups [Leadnow, ForestEducs Advocacy Association, Conneil of Canadians, the Dogwood Initiative, EcoSociety, Sierra Club of British Columbia, Idle No Morel opposed to the Northern Gateway Pipeline Project to the National Energy Board or nongovernment members of the petroleum industry? 4- If yes, was it lawful to provide this information? The Service interfacementating three witnesses to testity at the exporte hearthy: former Chief, Counter In elligence / Counter Profileration (CI/CP). will testify on the Service's Transfer Issues as well as operational reports in the issues identified above Shategic Analyst. Intelligence Assessment different (TAB), will testify on the IAB and his rote in delivering briefings and reports to Government of Chinada and other partners hs well as the Service's motivement in the biannual National Resources Canadalclassified briefings. Simiegic Anniyst -3 IAB, will testify on information sharing with the energy sector and National Resources Canada's biomsal classified briefings will also be providing conjugat to the enteri of Timothy O/Neri (RCMP) dated April 19. 201-3/15timed to pp. A0008929 14-000014 and A0008929 15-000015 of Tab 4 of the Complaint shook of documents (Exhibit C-1). The Service does not intend on calling has informed us that she has never stoke or exchanged emails with Rick Garber or the National Energy

Hissuen (* BEGLAC mpl

Board. Haliver, if the Committee is of the view that the second section would be useful in the Committee's investigation, the Service is prepared to have second to have second testify either orally or in writing.



[] PROTECTED pursuar Date: Han 7	INTELLIGENCE REVIEW CO [] SECRET [] TOP SECRI IN THE MATTER of a complaint filed by before SIRC In to section of the CSIS Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. CONST SIRC File # 1500-451 SIRC CONST SIRC File # 1500-451 SIRC	ET when col C-23 Case # 1 ⁻¹	mpleted
		In Camera	Ex Parte
Presiding Member	Yves Fortier		
SIRC's Senior Counsel	Sulvie Raussel		
SIRC's Counsel	Chantelle Bouer		
SIRC's Registrar	Shacina Stawick	-	
Stenographer	Nel reeley		
Complainant	PECLA		
Counsel	Paul Champ		
Counsel			
CSIS Counsel	Hephanie Dion		
CSIS Counsel			
Counsel			
Counsel			
Witness [CSIS]			
Witness [CSIS]			
Witness []			
Witness []		1	
Witness []		1	T
Translators	1	<u>}</u>	
Translators			
Observer []	+1 ERL. [LOSE]	<u>}</u>	1
Observer []	[LOSE]		
Observer []	[LOSE]	<u></u>	

Page 224 of 326

. ...

SECURITY INTELLIGENCE REVIEW COMMITTEE () **3 ()** () **3** ((0):X:30:30 which completes when completed IN THE MATTER of a complaint filed by RACUA before the Security Intelligence Review Committee pursuant to section 4 | of the CS/S Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-23 SIRC Case # KAG Date: May 20.2015 SIRC File # 4151 Page \ of < PUCAJO F/U TIME PARTY and the heredise planticular GRADVCI. ar. North S. as there (GREX 1 Jersk Vanfue exdessi Q. Sam C. Marca ACTOS XV zi lizza z'raid ni entrans Cal to Onart Unice. 1-2 incentionts stication CONE **٦** THE A (z)- **X** C851 DVZ. Ol 7--ano those na zor ar N. 2014 SCAROLO 100 925 an bracker Hommon Veran ie arts S YRD

7 of 108



8 of 108

AGC1050

350	URITY INTELLIGENCE REVIEW COMMITTEE	
	PROTECTED SECRET TOP SECRET when completed when completed when completed when completed when completed	
IN TI Date: F/U Time	IE MATTER of a complaint filed by before the Security Intelligence Review Committee pursuant to section of the CS/S Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-23 SIRC File # SIRC Case # Page S of S	
1 7 Mart 1 50000		للمحدد
·······	Thomas Vancauser.	
	Cuth	a l
·····	- A Deres: August 12 por jurd (19)114	<u>ð</u> ľ
No. A Providence of the second	E ani protinu	\$
	AN BANK DRY LT	
- ्रिकेर	2 Jelons alle	
$ \int \Delta^{\rho} $	Change All Str	
	Derisaly 13th	
	N	****.
	Expante hearing requested by	
	- Dian for reasons of rational	
	- Decurital	2222
•••••	- this is the series informed line 22	~~~~
	other issues. Availant.	
	they want	
·········	to some the articles to and	3
	Nassay issues	
	to do:	
	- DOM (need to main to begg for your	من م <u>د</u> ر ا

9 of 108

AGC1050

PROTECTED B

File No.: 1500-481

THE SECURITY INTELLIGENCE REVIEW COMMITTEE

IN THE MATTER of a complaint filed by the

pursuant to section 41 of the Canadian Security Intelligence Service Act

PRE-HEARING CONFERENCE ON WEDNESDAY, MAY 20, 2015, at 10:00 a.m.

Honourable L. Yves Fortier, P.C., C.C., O.Q., Q.C., Presiding Member

AGENDA

- 1. Introduction and identification of the parties
- 2. Purpose of the conference
- 3. Identification of the document(s) setting out the complaint
- 4. Allegation(s) of the complaint to be investigated
- 5. Format of hearing: in writing or orally
- 6. Number and identity of witnesses including a brief summary of the nature of their testimony
- 7. Number of days for testimony
- 8. Hearing date(s) and place of hearing
- 9. Use of electronic devices
- 10. Schedule for filing of documents prior to hearing
- 11. Need for an interpreter and/or simultaneous translation
- 12. Request for ex parte hearing
- 13. Written submissions
- 14. Any other matters

Page 228 of 326

Page 229 of 336

2 Page 230 of 326

Page 231 of 326

Page 232 of 326

Page 233 of 326

Page 234 of 326

Page 235 of 326

1500-481.02

Security Intelligence Review Committee



Comité de surveillance des activités de renseignement de sécurité PROTECTED B

File No.: 1500-481

May 15, 2015

BY COURIER

Mr. Paul Champ Counsel Champ & Associates Equity Chambers 43 Florence Street Ottawa, ON K2P 0W6

Ms. Stéphanie Dion Counsel National Security Litigation & Advisory Group Department of Justice Canada PO Box 8127, Station T Ottawa, ON K1G 3H6

Dear Counsel:



RE:

COMPLAINT AGAINST THE CANADIAN SECURITY INTELLIGENCE SERVICE PURSUANT TO SECTION 41 OF THE CANADIAN SECURITY INTELLIGENCE SERVICE ACT

I am writing on behalf of the Presiding member, the Hon. Yves Fortier, P.C., C.C., O.Q., Q.C. The Presiding member has considered the letter of complaint dated February 6, 2014 and the correspondence dated September 22, 2014, March 25, 2015, and April 7, 9 and 15, 2015. With respect to the proposed issues to be examined as part of the complaint as set out by Ms. Dion in her letter dated April 15, 2015, the Presiding member has raised the following questions which the parties are invited to consider and address during the pre-hearing conference to be conducted on May 20, 2015:

- Given the wording of section 12 of the CSIS Act which provides that the Service "shall collect, by investigation or otherwise" and the allegations in the letter of complaint to the effect that the Service is "gathering information" and "monitoring and surveillance", what meaning shall be attributed to the words "investigate" and "investigation" in the April 15th letter?
- 2) Whether the "groups or individuals" referred to in questions 1 and 3 of the April 15th letter are those set out on pages 2 and 6 of the letter of complaint?

P.O. Box / C.P. 2430, Station / Succursale "D" Ottawa, Canada K1P 5W5 Tel: 613 990-8441 Fax: 613 990-5230

Page 236 of 326 0896/16 3) Whether the expression "non-government members of the petroleum industry" is limited to the private-sector industry?

~2~

4) While the issues to be examined in the April 15th letter only refer to the Northern Gateway Project, the March 25th letter refers to "protests concerning the petroleum industry, including the Northern Gateway Project" and the attachment to the letter refers to hydraulic fracturing protests in New Brunswick. What is the intended purpose of the references to the protests in New Brunswick?

Should you have any questions with respect to the foregoing, please do not hesitate to contact me at (613) 990-6319.

Yours sincerely, Source 81

Shayna Stawicki Registrar

Shayna Stawicki

From: Sent: To: Subject: Karolyne Chenier Monday, April 27, 2015 10:13 AM Shayna Stawicki FW: Operator Assisted Confirmation 4216364

FYI

From: Conferencing Service [mailto:SGTReservations.GTSReservations@ssc-spc.ac.ca] Sent: Monday, April 27, 2015 10:07 AM To: Karolyne Chenier Subject: Operator Assisted Confirmation 4216364

Shared Services Canada	Services partagés Canada	
Government Teleconferencing Service de	Le Service Gouvernemental Télécontérences	Canadä

Operator Assisted Service Confirmation: 4216364

Special instructions

Thank you for using Government Teleconferencing Service.

1 800 226-6338 / 613 941-9554

SGTReservations.GTSReservations@ssc-spc.gc.ca.

Conference call details

Conference date:	20-May-2015	Call type:	Operator Assisted	
Conference time:	10.00AM	Time zone:	(GMT-05:00) Easter Canada)	n Time (US &
Duration (minutes):	60	Number of lines:	4)
Participants Local / toll-free (Cana dial-in number(s):	da/U.S) 513-954-4096	57866-333-5268		
International dial-in n	umbers:			
Contact details Department name:	Convib Intelligence 5	Territory Constanting		
webernient Battle.	Security Intelligence F	CEVIEW CORPUTEIGE		

Moderator file number: 1639182 Moderator name: Mme Sylvie Roussel

Telephone number:

613-991-0157

to have call extended

Page 238 of 326

2

Contact name: Billing code: Ms. Karolyne Chenier 9990308 613-990-8441

Conference

Language: Title: English call

Recording

Conference recording:NO

Click here to access and retrieve your recording.

Introduction

Announce Participants: Roll call: NO

Participant restrictions

Authorized participants: Operators will obtain the following information from callers:

Participant list

Send to: Will be sent by:

Guest speakers

Guest speaker(s) should be prepared to join the conference _____minutes prior to the conference start time.

List of participants to dial-out

Call Moderator: First

First name	Last name	Telephone number	Aiternate number
Ms. Sylvie	Roussel	613-991-0157	
Mr. Yves	Fortier	514-286-2011	
Mr. Paul	Champ	613-237-2441	
Ms. Stephanie	Dìon	613-842-1356	

For a list of Teleconferencing telephone key pad commands visit http://www.gcpedia.cc.ca/wiki/GTS_WebEx_

<u>Click here</u> to discover how you can reduce Green House Gas emissions by using conferencing for your next meeting.

NOTICE: This message is confidential, privileged and intended for the exclusive use of the addressee. Any other person is strictly prohibited from disclosing, distributing or reproducing it. If you have received this message by mistake, please notify us immediately by telephone and delete all copies.



SECURITY INTELLIGENCE REVIEW COMMITTEE

ſ

] PROTECTED [] SECRET [] TOP SECRET when completed

IN THE MATTER of a complaint filed by BCCLA before SIRC pursuant to section⁴¹ of the CSIS Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-23

Date: 3 14 24 -2015 SIRC File # 481 SIRC Case # 146

In Camera- Ex Parte

Presiding Memb	er	Yves Fortier	
SIRC's Sei	nior Counsel	Chancelle Powers	
SIRG	C's Counsel		
SIRC's Registra			
	******	Sharpa Stawick	
Stenographer		Noel realey	
Complainant			
	Counsel	Paul Chang	
	Counsel		
CSIS Counsel		Stephanie Dion	
CSIS Counsel			
	Counsel	· ·	
	Counsel		
Witness	[CSIS]		
Witness	[CSIS]		
Witness	[]	х	
Witness	[]		
Witness	[]		
Translators			
Translators			
Observer	IEér I	\ [LOSE]	
Observer	[]	[LOSE]	
Observer	[]]	[LOSE]	

Page 241 of 326

	SE	CURN	Y INTELLIGENCE REVIEW COMMITTEE
			DTECTED SECRET TOP SECRET completed when completed when completed
		before s(TER of a complaint filed by BCCA the Security Intelligence Review Committee pursuant to ection 年7 of the CS/S Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-23 SIRC File # 日日 SIRC Case # 単ん
F/U		PARTY	シーム SIRC File # 니영(SIRC Case # いくし Page i of
10000000	·		Case maragement teleconf.
			requested by Tane Champ.
			sunhappy a wither disclosure
			francess.
			*
			Start time #11:30am
			Championiens costs will not be
			presenting any evidence in the
·			in concie hearing related to
		••••	their complaint.
·····			·
			Dion-20555 has national Security
••••••	A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.		issues so will not confirm
54 saves save			tor dany in open setting whether
			info an particular on was
	**********		- Couverted

	1.2 pt March 1000		* Dian > requests that names
	*********		of CSIS employee
			remain confidential
			runnenticed.
			Page 242 of 326

Da	IN IN	PRO Indust	Y INTELLIGENCE REVIEW COMMITTEE OTECTED SECRET Nonpleted TOP SECRET Nonpleted When completed TER of a complaint filed by SECREA A the Security Intelligence Review Committee pursuant to ection of the CS/S Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-23 - 201 S SIRC File # SIRC Case # Page Z of
/~~~	y man	25	Champs he has submitted does already proving that info was
\int_{-}^{\cdot}	rifue S		collected so the "neither confirm nor dency" argument doesn't spoly
·			Dans to proof that CSTS is the
			that CSIS was consulted

			Page 243 of 326

Shayna Stawicki

Prom: Sent: To: Subject: Manon Vincent Thursday, July 23, 2015 3:16 PM Shayna Stawicki FW: Operator Assisted Confirmation 4221442

Follow Up Flag: Flag Status:

Follow up Flagged

Hi Shayna,

Here is the revised reservation for tomorrow. I told them that as they will be calling you first (in the hearing room) that you would let them know if Chantelle needs to be call on her cell or if she is present with you.

Manon Viacent Executive Assistant / Adjointe exécutive Security Intelligence Review Committee Conité de surveillance des activités de renseignement de sécurité tel: 613-991-9111 fax: 613-990-5230 manon.vincent@sinc-csars.rc.ca

From: Conferencing Service [mailto:SGTReservations.GTSReservations@ssc-spc.gc.ca] Sent: July-23-15 9:50 AM To: Manon Vincent Subject: Operator Assisted Confirmation 4221442



Operator Assisted Service Confirmation: 4221442

Special instructions

Revised confirmation.

We have noted that Ms. Bowers might be with Ms. Stawicki.

Thank you

Page 244 of 326

1

Thank you for using Government Teleconferencing Service.

1 800 226-6338 / 613 941-9554

SGTReservations.GTSReservations@ssc-spc.cc.ca.

Conference call details

Conference date:	24-Jul-2015	Call type:	Operator Assisted
Conference time:	11:30AM	Time zone:	(GMT-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
Duration (minutes):	60	Number of lines:	5
Participants Local / toll-free (Canada dial-in number(s): International dial-in nun	•	3-333-5268	
Contact details			
Department name:	Security Intelligence Review	v Committee	
Moderator file number:	1540509		
Moderator name:	Ms. Chantelle Bowers	Telephone number:	613-995-5063
Contact name: Billing code:	Mme Manon Vincent 9990308	Telephone number:	613-991-9111

Conference

Language: English call Title:

Recording

Conference recording:NO

Click here to access and retrieve your recording.

Introduction

Announce Participants: Roll call: NO

Participant restrictions

Authorized participants: Participants will be prompted for the following details:

Participant list Send to: Will be sent by:

Guest speakers

2

Guest speaker(s) should be prepared to join the conference minutes prior to the conference start time.

List of participants to dial-out Call Moderator:

First name	Last name	Telephone number	Alternate number
Ms. Shayna	Stawickí	613-991-0157	first
Ms. Chantelle	Bowers	613-863-9112	Cell
Mr. Paul	Champ	613-816-2441	
Ms. Stephanie	Dion	613-842-1356	
Mr. Yves	Fortier	514-286-2011	

WebEx Web conferencing

*Recording:	NO
*Monitoring;	NO
URL:	
Meeting/Session/Event number:	

Meeting/Session/Event password:

For a list of Teleconferencing telephone key pad commands visit http://www.gcoedia.cc.ca/wiki/GTS_WebEx_

<u>Click here</u> to discover how you can reduce Green House Gas emissions by using conferencing for your next meeting.

NOTICE: This message is confidential, privileged and intended for the exclusive use of the addressee. Any other person is strictly prohibited from disclosing, distributing or reproducing it. If you have received this message by mistake, please notify us immediately by telephone and delete all copies.

Page 246 of 326



		INTELLIGENCE REVIEW CO		
IN THE MATTER of a complaint filed by BCCCA before SIRC pursuant to section 41 of the CSIS Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-23 Date: Aug 12-2015 SIRC File # 1500-481 SIRC Case # 1466 (In Camera) Ex P				
L			(in Camera / EX I	
Presiding Me	mber	Yues Fortier		
SIRC's	Senior Counsel	Chantelle Bousers		
S	IRC's Counsel			
SIRC's Regis	trar	Shauna Stawicki		
Stenographer	·	Noel roode		
Complainant		Josh Paterson-Bacch		
	Counsel	Prus Chana		
	Counsel	Brian Ray '		
CSIS Counse		Stephanner Dran		
CSIS Counse				
	Counsel		1	
	Counsel			
Witness				
L	* · · ·			

Page 248 of 326

[LOSE]

(LOSE)

[LOSE]

Witness

Witness

Witness

Translators Translators

Observer

Observer

Observer

ľ

ľ

ľ

[]

ſ

ſ

]

1

1

Ţ

]

]

MA

Hull, Alastair

From: Sent: To: Subject: Shayna Stawicki «Shayna Stawicki@sirc-csars.gc.ca» August-12-15 12:27 PM Hull, Alastair Fw: complaint 1500-481



As discussed

Tyll Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Rogers network.

From Dion, Stephanie <u>Stephanie Dion@justice.gc.cz</u>> Sent: Tuesday, August 11, 2015 12:56 PM To: Stawicki Cc: Chantelie Bowers; Subject: complaint 1500-481

Good afternoon,

It has come to our attention that the complainant intends on providing recaps of the witnesses testimonies given at the in camera hearings (https://bccla.org/don't-spy-on-me/). This is concerning from a Service perspective and appears to contravene subsection 48(1) of the CSIS Act which provides that the investigations are conducted in private.

We would like to discuss this issue with you at your earliest convenience.

Stéphanie Dion

Avocate | Counsel Groupe Itiges et consells en sécurité nationale | National Security Litigation & Advisory Group stephanie dion@iustion.cc.ca Téléphone | Telephone: 613-842-1356
	×			
	93	ellisti	Y INTELLIGENCE REVIEW COMMITTEE	
			DITECTED SECRET TOP SECRET	
			DTECTED SECRET TOP SECRET	
IN THE MATTER of a complaint filed by BCCLA before the Security Intelligence Review Committee pursuant to section 41 of the CS/S Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-23				
Date		5 12-2	요도 SIRC File # 1도 SIRC Case # 1억6 Page 1 of	
-/U	TIME	PARTY	aan maana ka	
			Start time: 2 pm-4:30 pm	
antanta .	******	·	championen - 200 - 200 floor Alliste	
~~~~		••••	Cats i Spec Denter 7th floor i thing	
			Start Zions pro-	
	*****			
			preling issue	
~~~~	<i></i>	***********	Brack white man and	
		***********	while have inches with cases toging	
		1		
		Car s	are hours is and made hours are	
	*********		All smothers to enormal wa	
			nedia	
		-	opening statement from Chano	
			May of orcanizations are curve	
			Res the divit unant (Sat	
			Carlona i	
	2009 <u>99</u> 0000009		Equation and the total	
		•••••	ant-marce sant cining	

	******	**************	no horsisselle and a los see an and a reason of the	
			or management of the associated and as to book	

32 of 108

AGC1050

		SE	233		MITTEE SECRET
	Date: F/U		before si	an an Things and a subscription of the term and a formation of the standard standard standard standard standard	nt to SIRC Case # いー(し je こ_of
				Baug itsaf var an a a: Northern Centaine	
;				at all	**** f }
				have - then do b	elicise somerty
			***********************************	that every campalio	
			5000° 6100,000 - 1	to reidan of speak	<u>~ 60 2005 (200</u> -
				- at being surveilled	£
		*	,	~	
	Contractoria		Junior States and Stat	7th wither leg unitake	
\mathbf{Q}		Julie -		tore has testered as	
	XX	·····		Trant of nutre allege	12FR-1-12
			<u>. در ده دمه به دخترند</u>	GE GROUD JE PESSON I	and the second
		»»»»»»»»»»»»»»»»»»»»»»»»»»»»»»»»»»»»»»		L > Champing Line	
	~~~~	w		and a continue of the	A 77 company ch 4
				"the case of some of the	
	6000 (- )-			1	
				-muines that Beech	E and F
		*****		that VEB consulered	2522
	and a state of the				<u>Sis april</u>
•.	1				
-			*********	300 Cotant: COSS withen	in comme
				know nothing renthis	, particular
				Lase.	Page 251 of 326

-----

......

_____

-----

AGC1050

SIRC File No. 1500-481

## THE SECURITY INTELLIGENCE REVIEW COMMITTEE

In the matter of a Complaint filed by the BRITISH COLUMBIA CIVIL LIBERTIES ASSOCIATION pursuant to section 41 of the Canadian Security Intelligence Service Act, RSC 1985, c.C-23

BETWEEN:

## BRITISH COLUMBIA CIVIL LIBERTIES ASSOCIATION

Complainant

- and -

## THE CANADIAN SECURITY INTELLIGENCE SERVICE

Respondent

# SUMMARY OF ANTICIPATED EVIDENCE OF JOSH PATERSON (Pursuant to Rule 15.01(1)(b) of the SIRC Rules of Procedure)

JOSH PATERSON is the Executive Director of the British Columbia Civil Liberties Association ("BCCLA"), the Complainant in this matter. Without limiting the scope of his evidence or the Complainant's rights before the Committee in this regard, it is anticipated that the substance of Mr Paterson's evidence to the Committee will include the following:

- Mr Paterson will testify about the BCCLA as an organization and its history of defending the democratic rights of protest and dissent.
- 2. Mr Paterson will introduce and testify about government documents obtained under the Access to Information Act which indicate that several groups opposed to the Northern Gateway Pipeline have been monitored and surveilled by CSIS, and that this information has been shared with petroleum industry actors and

Page 252 of 326

the National Energy Board. The groups are identified in the complaint and most of the documents to be introduced are referred to in the complaint and subsequent correspondence with the Committee.

- 3. Mr Paterson will testify about the groups identified in the complaint -Leadnow, ForestEthics Advocacy Association, the Council of Canadians, the Dogwood Initiative, EcoSociety, the Sierra Club of British Columbia, and Idle No More - and what he knows about their organization and their activities. He will testify that to the best of his knowledge those organizations have not been involved in violent activities.
- 4. In addition to the evidence described above, Mr Paterson will provide such further and additional testimony and documentary evidence as may support the allegations set out in this complaint or as may be requested by the Committee.

35 of 108

Dated at Ottawa, this 17th day of June, 2015.

Paul[®]Champ

CHAMP & ASSOCIATES Barristers & Solicitors Equity Chambers 43 Florence Street Ottawa, ON K2P 0W6 T: 613-237-4740 F: 613-232-2680 E: pchamp@champlaw.ca Solicitors for the Complainant

Page 253 of 326

SECURITY INTELLIGENCE REVIEW COMMITTEE PROTECTED SECRET TOP SECRET when completed when completed when completed				
IN THE MATTER of a complaint filed by 12CCA before the Security Intelligence Review Committee pursuant to section 11 of the CSIS Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-23 Date: Aug 12-2015 SIRC File * 1481 SIRC Case #146 F/U TIME PARTY				
			,	
rannin			Evhibits entered.	
			C1. 60 101 1017	
	_agen Pl	:	CZ- Provid NOV ZOFZ	
proprieta			3-sup Bon	
	<u></u>	<u>.</u>	Cars- cars-	
		<u> </u>	STRCI-BOD	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Wither #1- Patterson (Ercin)	
w			-RALA Prequent interension Syra	
~~~~~	·····		aut of la-ada	
			- shart all processes are well	
			Known non-withent grips	
	········		· · ·	
	(405)	<u>></u> }	K-experimetion by Dian.	
		<u>-> 7</u>	The fram Brucers 12 Atto	
Second .	······			
			mis from member 1	
10 0000			end @ 4/25 \	
	17.0000000000 - ₀₀₀ .000			
······				
			Page 254 of 326	

AGC1050

Shayna Stawicki

From:	Netle
Sent:	Thurs
To:	Shayn
Cc:	Hull, A
Subject:	RE: 15

Netley, Melissa < Melissa Netley@cas-satj.gc.ca> Thursday, August 06, 2015 2:02 PM Shayna Stawicki Hull, Alastair; Gordon, Julie RE: 1500-481; Contract for Vancouver Federal Court

Heilo Shayna. I'm back today and confirm that you have courtroom to for the dates indicated below. I will be again on holidays starting Monday for 2 weeks, but I'm sure my colleagues Julie or Alastair would be able to show your up to the courtroom so you can do any arranging necessary. Our registry opens at 8:30am, so any time after that you could come to the 3rd floor and ask for an SRO.

Thanks

Melissa Netley Seniar Registry Officer Courts Administration Service 3^{ed} floor – 701 West Georgia Street Vancouver, BC Tel: 604-666-6290 Eax: 604-666-8181

From: Shayna Stawicki [inailto:Shayna Stawicki@sirc-csars.oc.ca] Sent: August-06-15 7:53 AM To: VAN-SRO Subject: RE: 1500-461: Contract for Vancouver Federal Court

Could you please respond in Melissa's absence? Thank you.

Shayna Stawicki

Registrar- Senior Legal Assistant/ Greffière- Adjointe juridique principale Security Intelligence Review Committee/ Comité de surveillance des activités de renseignement de sécurité (613) 990-6319

From: Shayna Stawicki Sent: Thursday, August 06, 2015 10:40 AM To: 'Netley, Melissa' Cc: Chantelle Bowers Subject: 1500-481: Contract for Vancouver Federal Court

Good morning Melissa,

Could you please confirm the following before my departure for Vancouver on Tuesday, August 11, 2015:

The Committee has Courtroom # 701 at the Vancouver Federal Court available to us on the following dates: August 12th: from 2 pm- 430 pm

Page 255 of 326



2

August 13th: from 9 am- 8:30 pm August 14th: from 9 am- 12:00 pm

Also, would it be possible for me to meet with someone from your office on August 12th prior to the hearing to introduce myself and ensure that the room is set-up for our needs?

Many thanks,

Shayna Stawicki

Registrar- Senior Legal Assistant/ Greffière- Adjointe juridique principale Security Intelligence Review Committee/ Comité de surveillance des activités de renseignement de sécurité (613) 990-6319

2

Shayna Stawicki

From: Sent: To: Subject: Registrar-Greffier Friday, August 07, 2015 9:34 AM Shayna Stawicki 1500-481: Order of witnesses In Camera



PROTECTED B

Champ:

Josh Paterson - CX. Lond COCCA) Celine Trojand Terry Dance-Bennink Caltlyn Vernon Nikki Skuce Jamie Biggar Reg Whitaker

After testifying, Mr Paterson will remain with myself and Mr Roy as the instructing client.

CSIS: Robert

1

Page 258 of 326

Page 259 of 326

Page 260 of 326

Page 261 of 326

Page 262 of 326

Page 263 of 326

Page 264 of 326

Page 265 of 326



	INTELLIGENCE REVIEW CO				
[] PROTECTED	[] SECRET [] TOP SECRE	T when complete			
IN THE MATTER of a complaint filed by 오૮૮૮ before SIRC pursuant to sectionų of the <i>CSIS Act</i> , R.S.C. 1985, c. C-23 Date: A ₄₄ 13-2015 SIRC File # 1500-1431 SIRC Case # 146					
Date: A-5 13-		(In Camera) Ex F			
(Participation)					
Presiding Member	Mues Fortier				
SIRC's Senior Counsel	Chantelle Barers				
SIRC's Counsel		<u> </u>			
SIRC's Registrar	Shayno Stawida				
Stenographer	Noel Keelow				
Complainant	Josh Raterson - RCC/A				
Counsel	Prul Champ				
Counsel	······································				
CSIS Counsel	Stephanic Dian				
CSIS Counsel					
Counsel					
Counsel					
Witness ^	Coline Tropand (Beech)				
Witness	Teny name-Bennink(P	(an)			
Witness []	Janie Bizan				
Witness []	Caitly Verson				
Witness []					
Translators					
Translators					
Observer []	[LOSE]				
Observer []	(LOSE)				
Observer []	[LOSE]				

Page 267 of 326

SIRC File No. 1500-481

THE SECURITY INTELLIGENCE REVIEW COMMITTEE

In the matter of a Complaint filed by the BRITISH COLUMBIA CIVIL LIBERTIES ASSOCIATION pursuant to section 41 of the Canadian Security Intelligence Service Act, RSC 1985, c.C-23

BETWEEN:

BRITISH COLUMBIA CIVIL LIBERTIES ASSOCIATION

Complainant

- and -

THE CANADIAN SECURITY INTELLIGENCE SERVICE

Respondent

SUMMARY OF ANTICIPATED EVIDENCE OF CÉLINE TROJAND (Pursuant to Rule 15.01(1)(b) of the SIRC Rules of Procedure)

CÉLINE TROJAND is Director of Organizing with Dogwood Initiative, one of the groups identified by the Complainant in SIRC File No. 1500-481. Without limiting the scope of her evidence or the Complainant's rights before the Committee in this regard, it is anticipated that the substance of Ms Trojand's evidence to the Committee will include the following:

- Ms Trojand will testify with respect to her background and history of activism, including her involvement with Dogwood Initiative and her interest in environmental and energy policy matters.
- Ms Trojand will testify with respect to her work as Director of Organizing with Dogwood initiative, including the methods by which Dogwood Initiative carries out its organizing, engagement, and advocacy activities.

Page 268 of 326

3. Ms Trojand will testify with respect to the history, mandate, structure, membership, and activities of Dogwood Initiative. Ms Trojand will testify that Dogwood Initiative was founded in 1999, and presently identifies approximately 315,000 supporters, of whom approximately 2,200 are active volunteers.

- 2 -

- 4. Ms Trojand will testify with respect to the demographics of Dogwood Initiative's supporters, as well as participation rates in various Dogwood Initiative campaigns and events.
- 5. Ms Trojand will testify with respect to Dogwood Initiative's activities in relation to the Northern Gateway project and the proceedings of the National Energy Board's joint review panel. In particular, Ms Trojand will testify that Dogwood Initiative did not participate directly in the NEB's Northern Gateway hearings, but will testify with respect to Dogwood Initiative's other activities, including promoting and encouraging individual participation, organizing and/or supporting public campaigns, rallies, seminars, letter-writing, and online/social media activities, relating to the NEB's Northern Gateway hearings.
- 6. Ms Trojand will testify with respect to the concerns expressed by current and prospective Dogwood Initiative members and supporters regarding reports of increased scrutiny by security agencies like CSIS. For example:
 - a) Dogwood Initiative staff have frequently received comments and inquiries from supporters and members of the public, expressing concerns that they may become a target or "end up on a list" because of their support for or involvement with Dogwood Initiative;
 - b) Dogwood Initiative's organizers and local teams have become increasingly concerned about internal security, expressing concerns about the possible monitoring of Dogwood-related emails, meetings, and correspondence;

Page 269 of 326

- c) Dogwood Initiative's regional organizers have expressed concerns about the impact of monitoring and surveillance activities by government and law enforcement agencies on their efforts to develop and maintain engagement with members and other community members, noting that prospective members are frequently apprehensive about the possibility that they may become "targets";
- d) Dogwood Initiative's supporters and community organizers frequently voice concerns about having their photos taken and published, and carefully review and revise written materials out of concern that provocative language may attract the attention of government and law enforcement agencies;
- e) Community organizers have reported being photographed while out canvassing (for example, at West Hills mall on July 1, 2014), and have expressed reluctance to stand or walk in prominent locations at rallies or other community gatherings; and
- f) A community organizer in Port Hardy ceased her activities with Dogwood Initiative following news reports of CSIS and RCMP spying, indicating that she felt "too vulnerable" as an immigrant to Canada.
- 7. Ms Trojand will testify with respect to the impact of increased scrutiny by government and/or law enforcement agencies on Dogwood Initiative's activities. Ms Trojand will state that these concerns have resulted in a noticeable "chill" in Dogwood Initiative's organizing efforts, membership engagement, fundraising, and participation in campaigns and events.
- Ms Trojand will testify that she attended the NEB hearings in Kelowna and Comox, providing communications and other activities on behalf of Dogwood Initiative.

Page 270 of 326

- 9. Ms Trojand will testify with respect to an incident which took place outside the NEB hearings in Comox on March 31, 2012, in which law enforcement and/or security officers accessed her laptop and reviewed her Facebook account.
- 10. Ms Trojand will testify that she participated in a workshop and training session presented by Leadnow and Dogwood Initiative in Kelowna on January 27, 2013. The event featured sessions on storytelling, engagement tactics, and creative design in conjunction with the NEB hearing the following day.
- 11. In addition to the evidence described above, Ms Trojand will provide such further and additional testimony and documentary evidence as may support the allegations set out in this complaint or as may be requested by the Committee.
- 12. In giving her evidence to the Committee, Ms Trojand will refer to documents included in the complainants' Book of Documents, as well as any additional relevant documents which may come to her attention before or during the hearing of this complaint.

Dated at Ottawa, this 17th day of June, 2015.

Chamo

CHAMP & ASSOCIATES Barristers & Solicitors Equity Chambers 43 Florence Street Ottawa, ON K2P 0W6 T: 613-237-4740 F: 613-232-2680 E: <u>pchamp@champlaw.ca</u> Solicitors for the Complainant

Page 271 of 326

- 4 -

SECURITY INTELLIGENCE REVIEW COMMITTEE (বর্জ, বিয় \sim when completes IN THE MATTER of a complaint filed by SCCCA before the Security Intelligence Review Committee pursuant to section 41 of the CSIS Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-23 Aug 13-2015 Date: SIRC File # 1500-481 SIRC Case # 1916 Page \ of 5 F/U TIME PARTY an ~ { Stat 0 910 and Withons 21 (aline Trác ation) 375 80 mor or inder of Dos and the Color V. A. M. MARCON \bigcirc ≫ \$} S-CQ YOW ŝ ∞ 2 CSAN . LC-CC-1 à. \$ €. 1005 Х.- \mathbb{Z} kan Dicem WIS Cho<u>na</u> 12 3 10201 1-10-20-10-25 cm some K Bruce لمسلح 3112 # and 10 Iniian) <u>~ (6540--02</u> enne k 4 a alacense form and the states of the 1. Cam. 2-2. 402. 2 because first notics" 19 621 Cores Sam

54 of 108

AGC1050

SIRC File No. 1500-481

THE SECURITY INTELLIGENCE REVIEW COMMITTEE

In the matter of a Complaint filed by the BRITISH COLUMBIA CIVIL LIBERTIES ASSOCIATION pursuant to section 41 of the Canadian Security Intelligence Service Act, RSC 1985, c.C-23

BETWEEN:

BRITISH COLUMBIA CIVIL LIBERTIES ASSOCIATION

Complainant

- and -

THE CANADIAN SECURITY INTELLIGENCE SERVICE

Respondent

SUMMARY OF ANTICIPATED EVIDENCE OF TERRY DANCE-BENNINK (Pursuant to Rule 15.01(1)(b) of the SIRC Rules of Procedure)

TERRY DANCE-BENNINK is Regional Organizer – Vancouver Island South with Dogwood Initiative, one of the groups identified by the Complainant in SIRC File No. 1500-481. Without limiting the scope of her evidence or the Complainant's rights before the Committee in this regard, it is anticipated that the substance of Ms Dance-Bennink's evidence to the Committee will include the following:

 Ms Dance-Bennink will testify with respect to her personal and professional background and history of community engagement and activism, including her involvement with Dogwood Initiative and her interest in environmental and energy policy matters.

Page 273 of 326



- 2. Ms Dance-Bennink will testify that, prior to joining Dogwood Initiative in 2013, she travelled to the tarsands and participated in the National Energy Board's public hearings regarding the Northern Gateway project as a private citizen.
- Ms Dance-Bennink will testify with respect to her work as volunteer Regional Organizer - Vancouver Island South with Dogwood Initiative, including her organizing, engagement, and advocacy activities on behalf of Dogwood Initiative.
- 4. Ms Dance-Bennink will testify that she joined Dogwood Initiative as a Regional Organizer for Vancouver Island South in December 2013. Ms Dance-Bennink will testify that Dogwood Initiative has more than 100 volunteers in this region, and that the overwhelming majority of these volunteers are over the age of 60.
- 5. Ms Dance-Bennink will testify with respect to the impact of increased scrutiny by government and/or law enforcement agencies on Dogwood Initiative's organizing activities. Ms Dance-Bennink will state that these concerns have resulted in a noticeable "chill" in Dogwood Initiative's organizing efforts, membership engagement, and participation in campaigns and events.
- 6. Ms Dance-Bennink will testify with respect to her concerns about new volunteers who seem interested in escalating Dogwood's activities. Ms Dance-Bennink will testify that it is always her practice to emphasize that Dogwood's focus is on grassroots organizing and advocacy through democratic processes.
- Ms Dance-Bennink will testify with respect to her concerns about internal security within Dogwood Initiative, including the potential monitoring of communications, correspondence, and events.
- 8. Ms Dance-Bennink will testify that she and other Dogwood organizers and supporters have become reluctant to stand or walk in prominent locations at rallies or other community gatherings, due to concerns about being identified or photographed. In particular, Ms Dance-Bennink will testify with respect to an

Page 274 of 326

- 2 -

incident in which she and other organizers were photographed while canvassing outside the West Hills mall on July 1, 2014.

~ 3 ~

- 9. In addition to the evidence described above, Ms Dance-Bennink will provide such further and additional testimony and documentary evidence as may support the allegations set out in this complaint or as may be requested by the Committee.
- 10. In giving her evidence to the Committee, Ms Dance-Bennink will refer to documents included in the complainants' Book of Documents, as well as any additional relevant documents which may come to her attention before or during the hearing of this complaint.

Dated at Ottawa, this 26th day of June, 2015.

Paul⁴Champ

CHAMP & ASSOCIATES Barristers & Solicitors Equity Chambers 43 Florence Street Ottawa, ON K2P 0W6

T: 613-237-4740 F: 613-232-2680 E: <u>pchamp@champlaw.ca</u> broy@champlaw.ca

Solicitors for the Complainant

Page 275 of 326



SIRC File No. 1500-481

THE SECURITY INTELLIGENCE REVIEW COMMITTEE

In the matter of a Complaint filed by the BRITISH COLUMBIA CIVIL LIBERTIES ASSOCIATION pursuant to section 41 of the Canadian Security Intelligence Service Act, RSC 1985, c.C-23

BRITISH COLUMBIA CIVIL LIBERTIES ASSOCIATION

- and -

Complainant

THE CANADIAN SECURITY INTELLIGENCE SERVICE

Respondent

SUMMARY OF ANTICIPATED EVIDENCE OF REG WHITAKER (Pursuant to Rule 15.01(1)(b) of the SIRC *Rules of Procedure*)

REG WHITAKER is a Distinguished Research Professor Emeritus in the Department of Political Science at York University and an adjunct professor of Political Science at the University of Victoria. Without limiting the scope of his evidence or the Complainant's rights before the Committee in this regard, it is anticipated that the substance of Professor Whitaker's evidence to the Committee will include the following:

1. Professor Whitaker has studied the security and intelligence activities of RCMP and CSIS for nearly forty years. He is a leading authority on the history of security and intelligence activity in Canada, including the targets of security and intelligence investigations.

Page 276 of 326

- 2. Professor Whitaker will explain the history of choosing targets for intelligence investigations in Canada. For most of Canada's history, there has been no clear demarcation between legitimate and illegitimate targets for investigation. A royal commission in 1977 discovered that the RCMP security service maintained a name index with 1.3-million entries, representing 800,000 files on individuals.
- 3. Beyond protecting the country from espionage, sabotage, terrorism, political violence, and covert foreign interference threats generally recognized as reasonable targets for intelligence investigations RCMP and CSIS has also targeted groups and individuals said to be "subversive", a vague and elusive term that can take many forms in the minds of those hunting it. These targets of RCMP and CSIS surveillance for "subversive" activities have often been those engaged in what might be described as 'left wing' activism, or those who appear to challenge the political/economic status quo. Those targeted over the years in Canada include workers striking for higher wages and better working conditions, refugees fleeing political conflict and persecution abroad, gay men and lesbian women, religious sects like Doukhobors and Jehovah's Witnesses, democratic socialists, Quebec separatists, First Nations activists, antiglobalization activists, and so on.
- 4. Historically, intelligence investigations in Canada have involved undercover agents and other secret sources of information within 'private' associations in civil society.
- 5. CSIS was created in large part to address the problems of improper targeting in security investigations. Operating under a statutory mandate that imposes restraints on its reach and methods, CSIS has shed some, but not all, of the ideological baggage of the RCMP. In many cases, CSIS operates under the same sort of bias towards those who challenge the economic or political status quo, and Service investigations have in some cases extended beyond genuine threats

Page 277 of 326

- 2 -

to security to encompass those suspected of the subverting the established order.

- 3 -

Dated at Ottawa, this 26th day of June, 2015.

Paul^AChamp

CHAMP & ASSOCIATES Barristers & Solicitors Equity Chambers 43 Florence Street Ottawa, ON K2P 0W6 T: 613-237-4740 F: 613-232-2680 E: <u>ochamp@champlaw.ca</u> Solicitors for the Complainant

SIRC File No. 1500-481

THE SECURITY INTELLIGENCE REVIEW COMMITTEE

In the matter of a Complaint filed by the BRITISH COLUMBIA CIVIL LIBERTIES ASSOCIATION pursuant to section 41 of the Canadian Security Intelligence Service Act, RSC 1985, c.C-23

BETWEEN:

BRITISH COLUMBIA CIVIL LIBERTIES ASSOCIATION

Complainant.

- and -

THE CANADIAN SECURITY INTELLIGENCE SERVICE

Respondent

SUMMARY OF ANTICIPATED EVIDENCE OF JAMIE BIGGAR (Pursuant to Rule 15.01(1)(b) of the SIRC *Rules of Procedure*)

JAMIE BIGGAR is Campaigns Director with Leadnow, one of the groups identified by the Complainant in SIRC File No. 1500-481. Without limiting the scope of his evidence or the Complainant's rights before the Committee in this regard, it is anticipated that the substance of Mr Biggar's evidence to the Committee will include the following:

- Mr Biggar will testify with respect to his background and history of activism, including his involvement with Leadnow and his interest in environmental and energy policy matters.
- 2. Mr Biggar will testify with respect to his work as Campaigns Director with Leadnow, including the methods by which Leadnow carries out its organizing, engagement, and advocacy activities.

Page 279 of 326

- 3. Mr Biggar will testify with respect to the history, mandate, structure, membership, and activities of Leadnow. Mr Biggar will testify that Leadnow is an independent advocacy organization that runs campaigns on major issues, engages people in participatory decision-making, and organizes in communitiesacross Canada.
- 4. Mr Biggar will testify with respect to Leadnow's activities in relation to the Northern Gateway project and the proceedings of the National Energy Board's joint review panel. In particular, Mr Biggar will testify that Leadnow did not participate directly in the NEB's Northern Gateway hearings, but will testify with respect to Leadnow's other activities, including promoting and encouraging individual participation, organizing and/or supporting public campaigns, rallies, seminars, letter-writing, and online/social media activities, relating to the NEB's Northern Gateway hearings.
- 5. Mr Biggar will testify with respect to the impact of increased scrutiny by government and/or law enforcement agencies on Leadnow's activities, and the concerns these activities have raised among Leadnow staff and supporters. Mr Biggar will state that these concerns have resulted in a noticeable "chill" in Leadnow's organizing efforts, membership engagement, and participation in campaigns and events. For example, Leadnow has:
 - a) Received inquiries from supporters expressing concerns that they may become a target or "end up on a list" because of their support for or involvement with Leadnow;
 - b) Become increasingly concerned about internal security and the monitoring of Leadnow-related emails, meetings, and correspondence;
 - c) Become concerns about the impact of monitoring and surveillance activities by government and law enforcement agencies on their efforts to develop and maintain engagement with members and other

62 of 108

Page 280 of 326

community members, noting that prospective members are frequently apprehensive about the possibility that they may become "targets"; and

 d) Become concerned that simply drawing attention to the fact that law enforcement agencies have identified Leadnow as an organization of interest will adversely effect engagement and participation with their organization.

. 3 .

- 6. Mr Biggar will testify that Leadnow participated with Dogwood Initiative in a workshop and training session in Kelowna on January 27, 2013. The event featured sessions on storytelling, engagement tactics, and creative design in conjunction with the NEB hearing the following day.
- 7. In addition to the evidence described above, Mr Biggar will provide such further and additional testimony and documentary evidence as may support the allegations set out in this complaint or as may be requested by the Committee.
- 8. In giving his evidence to the Committee, Mr Biggar will refer to documents included in the complainants' Book of Documents, as well as any additional relevant documents which may come to his attention before or during the hearing of this complaint.

Dated at Ottawa, this 17th day of June, 2015.

Paul^aChamp

CHAMP & ASSOCIATES Barristers & Solicitors Equity Chambers 43 Florence Street Ottawa, ON K2P 0W6

Page 281 of 326

T: 613-237-4740 F: 613-232-2680 E: <u>pchamp@champlaw.ca</u> Solicitors for the Complainant

- 4 -

Page 282 of 326

SECHED	Y INTELLIGENCE REVIEW COMMITTEE
	OTECTED SECRET TOP SECRET
	n completed when completed when completed
	TER of a complaint filed by CCCA
S	ection ^U of the CS/S Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-23
Dato: August	ાર્જ SIRC File # પ્રદ્ય SIRC Case # ૫૫૯ -
F/U TIME PARTY	Page L of S
1	
	- appen seeing the conditions cameted from
	aileandartanan 244
	• affermant cancers fran fracting and CSISIN rot.
······	anvediffacult to set of to stongetimes,
	- valuaterizedanta & in for of being
	Lexan by Dron
anna ann an tailtean ann an tailtean ann ann an tailtean ann ann ann an tailtean ann ann an tailtean ann an tai	- Coss is contraction its and up ratio
	by coluctors " shawn info famine processy"
11-1500	competed authess-
	ho wither present infil I prolitik
	1 12:30pm Bygad need inthes " Zathas i
	Krecht until 12 30000 Mig CA
<u>N-3000</u> ~	Cathering of
<u>2</u> 22	August 27th -> due dove for
	+russight zatkin
	fer leg whitakar
·····	
	(<u>waresont M. Janue Brogon (Cadoon</u>)
) <u>Simalan yang direktor in Ukon 1988</u> 233 8 338

65 of 108

.

SIRC File No. 1500-481

THE SECURITY INTELLIGENCE REVIEW COMMITTEE

In the matter of a Complaint filed by the BRITISH COLUMBIA CIVIL LIBERTIES ASSOCIATION pursuant to section 41 of the Canadian Security Intelligence Service Act, RSC 1985, c.C-23

BETWEEN:

BRITISH COLUMBIA CIVIL LIBERTIES ASSOCIATION

Complainant

- and -

THE CANADIAN SECURITY INTELLIGENCE SERVICE

Respondent.

SUMMARY OF ANTICIPATED EVIDENCE OF CAITLYN VERNON (Pursuant to Rule 15.01(1)(b) of the SIRC Rules of Procedure)

CAITLYN VERNON is Campaigns Director with Sierra Club of BC Foundation ("Sierra Club BC"), one of the groups identified by the Complainant in SIRC File No. 1500-481. Without limiting the scope of her evidence or the Complainant's rights before the Committee in this regard, it is anticipated that the substance of Ms Vernon's evidence to the Committee will include the following:

- Ms Vernon will testify with respect to her background and history of activism, including her involvement with Sierra Club BC and her interest in environmental matters.
- 2. Ms Vernon will testify with respect to her work as Campaigns Director with Sierra Club BC, including the methods by which Sierra Club BC carries out its education and public awareness-raising activities.

Page 284 of 326

- 3. Ms Vernon will testify with respect to the history, mandate, structure, membership, and activities of Sierra Club BC. In particular, Ms Vernon will testify that Sierra Club BC was formed in 1969 and is a registered charity. Sierra Club BC has played a major role in numerous campaigns, agreements, and court victories which have helped protect BC's wilderness. Sierra Club BC also has a longstanding tradition of providing nature education for young children both within and outside BC's school system.
- 4. Ms Vernon will testify that Sierra Club BC's mission is to protect, conserve, and educate the public about British Columbia's wilderness, species and ecosystems, within the urgent context of climate change impacts. To this end, Sierra Club BC works collaboratively with communities, youth, First Nations, workers, government, businesses, and NGOs.
- 5. Ms Vernon will testify with respect to Sierra Club BC's activities in relation to the Northern Gateway project and the proceedings of the National Energy Board's joint review panel. In particular, Ms Vernon will testify that Sierra Club BC's campaign involved raising public awareness of the ecological values at stake, litigation, rallies, and providing support and assistance to First Nations and individuals who were expressing concerns about the Enbridge pipeline and oil tankers project.
- 6. Ms Vernon will testify that Sierra Club BC did not participate in the NEB's review process as an intervener. Sierra Club BC did, however, provide backgrounders and support to individuals who wished to provide written or oral presentations to the joint review panel.
- 7. Ms Vernon will testify with respect to the concerns expressed by Sierra Club BC board, staff and supporters regarding reports of surveillance and information sharing by security agencies like CSIS. In particular, Sierra Club BC board, staff and supporters felt a "chill" and expressed concerns about internal security

Page 285 of 326
and feelings of shock and distress that Sierra Club BC's lawful activities would be regarded as a national security matter.

- 8. Ms Vernon will testify that Sierra Club BC is concerned that surveillance and information sharing by security agencies including CSIS will impede its public awareness-raising efforts, making it increasingly difficult for Sierra Club BC to engage members of the public in meaningful public debate and discussion regarding environmental issues.
- 9. In addition to the evidence described above, Ms Vernon will provide such further and additional testimony and documentary evidence as may support the allegations set out in this complaint or as may be requested by the Committee.
- 10. In giving her evidence to the Committee, Ms Vernon will refer to documents included in the complainants' Book of Documents, as well as any additional relevant documents which may come to her attention before or during the hearing of this complaint.

Dated at Ottawa, this 17th day of June, 2015.

PaulChamp

CHAMP & ASSOCIATES Barristers & Solicitors Equity Chambers 43 Florence Street Ottawa, ON K2P 0W6 T: 613-237-4740 F: 613-232-2680 E: pchamp@champlaw.ca Solicitors for the Complainant

Page 286 of 326

- 3 -

SIRC File No. 1500-481

THE SECURITY INTELLIGENCE REVIEW COMMITTEE

In the matter of a Complaint filed by the BRITISH COLUMBIA CIVIL LIBERTIES ASSOCIATION pursuant to section 41 of the Canadian Security Intelligence Service Act, RSC 1985, c.C-23

BETWEEN:

BRITISH COLUMBIA CIVIL LIBERTIES ASSOCIATION

Complainant

- and -

THE CANADIAN SECURITY INTELLIGENCE SERVICE

Respondent

SUMMARY OF ANTICIPATED EVIDENCE OF NIKKI SKUCE (Pursuant to Rule 15.01(1)(b) of the SIRC Rules of Procedure)

NIKKI SKUCE is former Senior Energy Campaigner with ForestEthics Advocacy Association ("ForestEthics"), one of the groups identified by the Complainant in SIRC File No. 1500-481. Without limiting the scope of her evidence or the Complainant's rights before the Committee in this regard, it is anticipated that the substance of Ms Skuce's evidence to the Committee will include the following:

- Ms Skuce will testify with respect to her background and history of activism, including her involvement with ForestEthics and her interest in environmental and energy policy matters.
- Ms Skuce will testify with respect to her work as Senior Energy Campaigner with ForestEthics Advocacy Association until November 2014, including re role in ForestEthics' education and advocacy activities.

Page 287 of 326

- 3. Ms Skuce will testify with respect to the history, mandate, structure, membership, and activities of ForestEthics. Ms Skuce will testify that ForestEthics was founded in April 2012 to support the conservation and protection of the natural environments of Canada while allowing for their sustainable use.
- 4. Ms Skuce will testify with respect to ForestEthics's activities in relation to the Northern Gateway project and the proceedings of the National Energy Board's joint review panel. In particular, Ms Skuce will testify that ForestEthics's campaign involved education/awareness outreach. letter-writing, meeting with governments, litigation, rallies, and direct participation in public processes including the NEB's joint review panel.
- 5. Ms Skuce will testify that she registered ForestEthics to become an intervener in the Northern Gateway hearings, along with Raincoast Conservation Foundation and Living Oceans Society (collectively, the "Sustainability Coalition"), with legal representation from EcoJustice. Ms Skuce conducted research, worked with experts, prepared submissions, and participated actively throughout the process.
- 6. Ms Skuce will testify that ForestEthics attended the NEB's technical hearings in Edmonton, Prince George, and Prince Rupert, as well as community hearings in several locations throughout the NEB proceedings. Ms Skuce testified at the hearing in Prince George, BC on November 26, 2012.
- 7. Ms Skuce will testify with respect to the concerns expressed by ForestEthics staff and supporters regarding reports of increased scrutiny by security agencies like CSIS. In particular, ForestEthics staff and supporters felt a "chill" and expressed feelings of anxiety regarding ForestEthics' lawful activities as allegations that ForestEthics was perceived as potential security threat were published in the media. Staff whose families included members who had

Page 288 of 326

immigrated from other countries were especially fearful that serious repercussions may result from ongoing involvement with ForestEthics' advocacy work. Ms Skuce will also testify that these concerns prompted efforts to identify more secure means of internal communications for staff.

- 8. In addition to the evidence described above, Ms Skuce will provide such further and additional testimony and documentary evidence as may support the allegations set out in this complaint or as may be requested by the Committee.
- 9. In giving her evidence to the Committee, Ms Skuce will refer to documents included in the complainants' Book of Documents, as well as any additional relevant documents which may come to her attention before or during the hearing of this complaint.

Dated at Ottawa, this 17th day of June, 2015.

Paul[®]Champ

CHAMP & ASSOCIATES Barristers & Solicitors Equity Chambers 43 Florence Street Ottawa, ON K2P 0W6 T: 613-237-4740 F: 613-232-2680 E: <u>pchamp@champlaw.ca</u> Solicitors for the Complainant

- 3 -

	22(0):20120		TOP SECRET when completed
	when completed	 Witch completed 	
 Anti-particular products and particular Contracting and Contracting and the contracting and Contracting and the contracting and contracting and the contracting and the			
IN THE	MATTER of a c	complaint filed by Brack	
IN THE b	efore the Secur	rity Intelligence Review Commit	tee pursuant to
IN THE b	MATTER of a c efore the Secur section	omplaint filed by <u>Exco</u> rity Intelligence Review Commit of the CS/S Act, R.S.C. 19	tee pursuant to
IN THE b	efore the Secur	rity Intelligence Review Commit	tee pursuant to

ريو		19 1 8 000000000000000000000000000000000000	But fan 1070 War
			Witness #5: Carthyn Veron (Snews)
		1500-	- Compaigno Drecto - Es Sieno Clubof
			<u> </u>
\$ `			- animon actives pour Sime
	1.550. 10 0.000	, ,	based wants, edugation anticesty
			Count L'Ingations
	<u></u>		-macini disandience sclean paicy.
		······.	- impact of "Sisteria gathoused
		************	-fear that the fearnance gunt is going to
			and then I and statud
		······	"Ehaster terte
	·····		-annu begandika by ara ?
			manus anickan in cars
		Zpm.	X-Examples Dan
		,	- Session of methods of
		*********	Macing and a feet
		-475)-	adi <u>ne an inan</u>
100.000V		·· · ,	, ,
		-2705-	whereas ++ G'Nikki Skuce(FazatEtrico)
			- Mayangen J. Ferenterlianan more
			- 420 to be Serie Energy Chargesiper
			The Forent CHLASS & Page 200 01 320

1500-481:02



Department of Justice Canada

National Security Litigation & Advisory Group PO Sox 8127, Station T Ottawa, Onlano K1G 3H95

Ministère de la Justice Canada

Groupe itiges et consells en sécurité nationale CP 8127, Succursale T Ortawa (Ontario) X1G 0H8



2

PROTECTED

June 17, 2015

BY MAIL

Ms. Shayna Stawicki Registrar Security Intelligence Review Committee Jackson Building 122 Bank Street, 4th Floor Ottawa, Ontario K1P 5N6 JUN 13 2015 LIRS/LS

RECEVED

0000000	REFINANCE TO	DATE	X	
ininin di	Sr Come	oppolic		have
the second	Registra	161.50	22	
0000000				
Section 2.				
Section of			**********************	
	0000000		ร้างการการการที่ไ	

Dear Ms. Stawicki

Re: BCCLA - Complaint against CSIS Pursuant to Section 41 of the CSIS Act Your File 1500-481

I am writing further to the pre-hearing conference call held on May 20th, 2015.

The Service intends to call one witness for the *in camera* hearing scheduled to take place on August 13 and 14, 2015. The Service intends to call Robert a senior manager within the British Cohunbia Region. Robert will speak generally about the definition of "threats to the security of Canada" found at section 2 of the *CSIS* 4ct and the section 12 mandate.

The witness will give his testimony in English.

Canada

Page 291 of 326

should you require further information, please contact the undersigned at (613) 842-1356.

74 of 108

Sinceretŷ,

Stephanic Dion



c.c. Paul Champ;

ER&L

	S:	euan	Y INTELLIGENCE REVIEW COMMITTEE
			DTECTED SECRET TOP SECRET
			completed when completed when completed
	IN		TER of a complaint filed by RCCLA
			the Security Intelligence Review Committee pursuant to action of the CS/S Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-23
Date	x*		SIRC File # SIRC Case #
F/U	Time	Party	Page 4 of S
			- Forestation a good's conservation "
			entrang the natural endowerts
2.5.4.49.6 9 .			of Canada
			-Skule had resaified at joint review
		•••••	pavelynglfor NEB ; closen not
			- nen helittidner and of helig
			At the hearing for medicing the
			- NEG alternized that Gadenan project
			allaned i game >200 conditions to
*******		<u> </u>	Xievanine by Dias, Stee accessions,
		- species Soper	
			break 20 miles while seen
		339m	CSTS withas "Polo a Robert"
~~~~	***********		· ED CS IS BC region
		*********	- By of cases efforts relate to remarks,
			- Conto to guine to grist and , Versal
			Var. Efizza etc.
			- A 19 State - 1 Casta
	**********		staa 1-60 is gov clients.
			- classified non+ . NR com briefing
			in CSSS haviding to discuss

75 of 108

AGC1050

......

			TECTED SECRET TOP SECRET
Date: F/U		before	IER of a complaint filed by       Image: Complete Pursuant to         the Security Intelligence Review Committee pursuant to         action       of the CS/S Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-23         SIRC File #       SIRC Case #         Page S of 15
	1 62995.		
-8161445.		4 pm	Xaning my Champ
contraction.		•	tothe manage of comodo and depinen when
			tuy and spanninisterial direction
			- the gunt '
	. <b>.</b>	. <u></u>	mentioned in compaint.
		530	and it there is the internet of the internet in the internet internet in the internet internet in the internet inter
			25 from Sover > Changeller In Fertiler 1
		SISP	reducert by Diss
			1 question
		<i>\$</i> 22	- and a witness .
	·····		Sept. No. The date for (SES 10)
~~~~~~			apod to unitations aprates

			and the intervention
approximation			a de hearing les sopra.
			····
······			
······ ·	······		
·····			
			Page 294 of 326

76 of 108



		Ex xer "
SECURITY	INTELLIGENCE REVIEW CO	OMMITTEE
[] PROTECTED when completed	[] SECRET when completed	[] TOP SECRET when completed
IN THE MATTER of a comp	before SIRC	
pursuant to sect	ion \downarrow of the CSIS Act, R.	S.C. 1985, c. C-23
ate: <u>San 22-20</u> me: <u>Grzo Ann</u>	SIRC File #	SIRC Case # 1446

Dawy

		In	Camera	Ex Parte
Presiding Member		Muzz Grinza		**********
SIRC's Senior Coun	sei	Chronlefie Comeas		
SIRC's Counsel		jana kana kana kana kana kana kana kana		
SiRC's Registrar		Shame Staniaka		
Stenographer	****	Liller K. C. C. R. C. Tr	,	
Complainant		in a second s		
	Counsel			
	Counsel			
CSIS Counsel		Signatic Dian		
CSIS Counsel		an a		
	Counsel			
	Counsel	A		
Witness	[CSIS]	(3)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Witness	[CSIS]		22,47 0000 0000 0000 0000	
Witness	<u>[2273</u>			
Witness	[]		***************************************	
Witness	[]			
Transiztors				
Translators	***************************************			•
Observer	[]	[LOSE]		
Observer	()	[LOSE]		
Observer	[]	[LOSE]		

Page 296 of 336

File No. 1500-481



SECURITY INTELLIGENCE REVIEW COMMITTEE

BETWEEN:

BRITISH COLUMBIA CIVIL LIBERTIES ASSOCIATION

Complainant

~ and ~

CANADIAN SECURITY INTELLIGENCE SERVICE

Respondent

SUMMARY OF ANTICIPATED EVIDENCE OF

Service (CSIS or the Service) in 2008. He is an analyst within the
 of the Intelligence and Assessments Branch (IAB) of the Service at

Headquarters (HQ).

3

- 2. Will testify on IAB's mandate which is to provide timely and reicvant intelligence which meets the Government of Canada's (GoC) stated requirements and priorities. Within the Service, IAB is responsible for prioritizing and integrating intelligence requirements from all sources in cooperation with core Operations and for providing subject-matter expertise in support of collection, reporting and Executive briefing needs.
 - Will provide an overview IAB's responsibilities which include:
 Actively engaging with the GoC to identify its intelligence needs and deliver briefings, assessments and reports which meet the strategic requirements of GoC senior policy-makers.

Providing subject-matter expertise in support of operational and administrative programs.

Page 297 68 326



Protection Division of NRCan and have been held bi-annually since 2005 and that he has been personally involved in them since 2010.

 will give an overview of the topics discussed at past NRGan classified briefings for energy and utilities sector stakeholders and the people who attended.

5. On the issue of the NRCan classified briefings, will also be referring the Committee to a review conducted by the Committee in 2011 entitled *Review of CS/S* × VeA 2 *Private Sector Relationships* where it was found that "the NRCan bi-annual classified briefings are a good example of how the Service can participate in a public-private relationship between its federal government partner (NRCan) and the private sector on a security issue."

WAS-COIS

- Finally. Will be providing context to the email of Timethy Q'Netl (RCMP) dated April 19, 2013 found at pp. A0008929_14-000014 and A000892915-000015 of Tab 4 of the Completint's book of documents (Exhibit C=1).
- 7. In addition to the evidence described above. Will provide such further and additional testimony and documentary evidence as may respond to the allegations set out in this complaint or as may be requested by the Committee.
- 8. In giving his evidence to the Committee, will refer to documents included in the Service's classified book of documents, as well as any additional relevant documents which may come to his attention before or during the hearing of this complaint.

Per: Stephanic Dion Department of Justice Canada National Security Litigation & Advisory Group P.O. Box 8127, Station T Ottawa, Ontario, K1G 3H6

Tel: 613-842-1356 Fax: 613-842-1345

Counsel for the Respondent

Philes 256 of 326

064



Department of Justice Canada

Ministère de la Justice Canada

National Security Litigation & Advisory Group PO Box 8127, Station T Ottawe, Ontario K10 34/8

Groupe Nigas st conseils en sécurité nationale CP 6127, Suscurseis T Otawa (Ontario) K1G 346

RECEIVED

MOV 2 7 2015

November 26, 2015

BY HAND

Ms. Shayna Stawicki Registrar Security Intelligence Review Committee Jackson Building 122 Bank Street, 4th Floor Ottawa, Ontario K1P 3N6 SECRET



Dear Ms. Stawicki:

RE: BCCLA - Complaint against CSIS Pursuant to Section 41 of the CSIS Act Your File 1500-481

This is further to my correspondence of October 29, 2015, setting out the timelines for the above-mentioned matter,

Please find enclosed the summaries of anticipated evidence of

Should you require further information, please contact the undersigned at (613) 842-1356.

Sincerel

Stéphanie Dion Counsel

Encl.

ce: ER&L

51000-677

Canada

Physe 259 of 326

SECRET

File No. 1500-481

SECURITY INTELLIGENCE REVIEW COMMITTEE

BETWEEN:

BRITISH COLUMBIA CIVIL LIBERTIES ASSOCIATION

Complainant

- and -



Page 309 of 326

- Preparing Threat and Risk Assessments (TRAs).
- Providing outreach and education to GoC consumers.
- will testify on the different type of IAB intelligence publications that are prepared and whom they may be disseminated to.
- 5. will further testify that since December 31, 2009, he has propared several intelligence products and briefings on the issue of domestic extremism and more specifically will also be testifying as to specific IAB products prepared after December 31, 2009 that
- 6. Will also provide the Committee with an overview of the work IAB does in the area of domain awareness. Domain awareness is done in part to ascertain potential triggers and flashpoints, and in part to ensure that CSIS is aware of what is happening should a floreat arise. On this issue, will refer the Committee to the study conducted by the Committee entitled CSIS Activities Relaxed to Domestic Investigations V or 3- 4000 and Emerging Threats.
- will further provide a sample of briefings that he has delivered to various stakeholders (private and public sector) on the issues of domestic extremism.
- On the issue of the delivery of briefings to the private sector, will also be referring the Committee to a review conducted by the Committee in 2011 entitled Review of 3- of CSIS's Private Sector Relationships.
- Finally will provide information relating to his participation to the Department of National Resources' (NRCan) classified briefings for energy and utilities sector stakeholders which are mentioned in the complaint letter.
- 10. In addition to the evidence described above, will provide such further and additional testimony and documentary evidence as may respond to the allegations set out in this complaint or as may be requested by the Committee.

Page 304 of 326

11. In giving his evidence to the Committee, will refer to documents included in the Service's classified books of documents, as well as any additional relevant documents which may come to his attention before or during the hearing of this complaint.

..3..

Per: Stéphanie Dion Department of Justice Canada National Security Litigation & Advisory Group P.O. Box \$127, Station T Ontawa, Ontario, K1G 3H6

Tel: 613-842-1356 Fax: 613-842-1345

Counsel for the Respondent

Page 302 6 326

4. As former Chief will testify that she has an overall knowledge of the Service investigations that were ongoing while she was in that position, she will also testify to have reviewed the list of targets under the domestic threat certificates that have been the subject of an investigation within that unit since December 31, 2009.

-2-

 will provide information on the individuals, groups, organizations or events that were and are targeted under theses certificates.

will provide explanation on some occurrences
 project.

- will also testify on several Service policies, including policies that provide guidance on issues of lawful advocacy, protest and dissent.
- 8. In addition to the evidence described above, will provide such further and additional testimony and documentary evidence as may respond to the allegations set out in this complaint or as may be requested by the Committee.
- 9. In giving her evidence to the Committee will refer to documents included in the Service's classified books of documents, as well as any additional relevant documents which may come to her attention before or during the hearing of this complaint.

Per: Stéphanie Dion Department of Justice Canada National Security Litigation & Advisory Group P.O. Box 8127, Station T Ottawa, Ontario, K1G 3H6

Tel: 613-842-1356 Fax: 613-842-1345

Counsel for the Respondent

Page 303 cf 326

File No. 1300-481

SECURITY INTELLIGENCE REVIEW COMMITTEE

BETWEEN:

3.

BRITISH COLUMBIA CIVIL LIBERTIES ASSOCIATION

Complainant

- and -.

CANADIAN SECURITY INTELLIGENCE SERVICE

Respondent

murets, TARC

SUMMARY OF ANTICIPATED EVIDENCE OF

- began her career at the Canadian Security Intelligence Service (CSIS or the Service) as an Intelligence Officer (IO) in 2001. She currently holds the position of Executive Assistant to the Assistant Director of Operation (ADO) at Headquarters (HQ). Between November 2013 and January 2015, was Chief of the
- will testify on the role of the which is responsible for managing the collection programs directed at domestic extremism.

will testify on the certificates under which domestic threats were being and are being investigated

Physe 304 of 326

Page 305 of 326

Page 306 of 326

Page 307 of 326

Page 308 of 326

Still William and a star and a star and a star a					COMM TOP SE	0000	100 A.
befor	TTER of a cor e the Security section 너 너	y İntelligen	ce Review (Committee	- Tiga al a a a a ar	o	
Ime Party	2016	SII	}C File # ן	500-4	لکز Sil Page	RC Case # \ of	146
	(Start	time	: P 62	30.05	<u> </u>		

·····		10000000000000000000000000000000000000		
	s Na na		3 witherea	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
,	·	1997-1-1996 (a) 1997 (a) 1997 (a)		
	9 _{1.0} 00000000000000000000000000000000000	*******	stanle 9:35am	
			Exhibits entered	
			CAR 2227 AI LOV- AS 2722	
	Tim.	NIL I	sign - Vol 10 " "	
X	×*	JA I	A	
	Write Write		SA. Vol 2 " "	
ş	U M	0	6A. 1013	
	70.		FA-VOLU . " (ALIS book	
			An-vois	~
			ects office	>
	purpla-)		134 johners Q 9:55 am-	ľ
-10	Chine & and	5 'j.sm	Herhibit CSIS SAM	ł
	NES			
	ACC 1997		Larkfreene.	
		ie:	A succession of the second	
	X.S.M		discussed has they provided the destates	ur

91 of 108

SECURIT	Y INTELLI	Gannarn	avia'' o		
· · //////////////////////////////////		SECRE		TOP SECRET	
before se Date: کمبر ۲۶۰	ER of a complai the Security Inte ction いい of てついん	lligence Review	Committee p I.S.C. 1985, c.		и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и
FIU TIME PARTY					
	. entermeterse	ter (constants)	unit	.	
	towns	t investi	<u>Xat</u> n	han to b	X
		2-55 ye	dissiner.	at SOZ will	
	there do not do 1	fice terf	are the	es fran	
		V			
	CY/MAKO	e <u>, </u>	- afer	t-instan	<u>- re polís</u>
	the clinars	ex 780 ed war		e certain	tanje ti,
- 15. - 15.					

.

92 of 108

SE	OURITY INTEL	LIGENCE REVIEW	
	PROMECTED Choncompleted	SECRET	Top Size(Nat) choraceses
	before the Security	Iplaint filed by BCC CA Intelligence Review Committe of the CS/S Act, R.S.C. 198	e pursuant to 5, c. C-23
Date: <u>5</u> F/U Time	Ά. 23-206 Ρλητγ	SIRC File # 너원\	SIRC Case # 1~(C Page - of
***************************************	, ,		
		ale is to protec	* individual
	(<u></u>	. citizens sur	letter their in
		ut rectors or m	Ú.
······			
, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
	ng ng tun tun ng		
and the second s			
and the second se			
······	2220-31311000		
	7300	PSICSESI	
·····			
······			
	the set of		
,			
	That the form)		

3	द्रशीक्षा		Hangaravia	W COMMINEE	
	PROTE Shines		SEORES Antipotectioni		
IN	before the	Security Intell	nt filed by BCCL ligence Review Comm he CSIS Act, R.S.C. 1	hittee pursuant to	
Date: 5	ίας 2.5 - ζ	2016	SIRC File # ଏମୁ	SIRC Case / Page 너 of	# 1-14
	5	1066 m			
	······································				······································
	×				

......

.....

	C. South	
, a defende a companya	* ******************	
50000000000	and the second second second	- fat-
		6650
	/##//	
. à		
1999 (1999) 1999 (1999)	Sector March	
	Lee	

	······	
	······	
••••		

	ণ ললো য়।	D'ALVITE		Xa Ravi	3 . 000		
	SHIIIIIIii				888888.adcabarre/111111111111111		355
	befor		mplaint filed t y Intelligence of the CSI:	Review Con			
Date:	30 28-	2016	SIRC	File# U(S	and a second	SIRC Case # age < of	IL CO
F/U Ta	ne Party						

			0 544 Dana C	
			- 29279-111-11	
	110,000,000			
		(luxor		
		a a sa a		
•				
			Break@ 1145am-12pm-x	

	50000000000000000000000000000000000000	nyyana ana da	42-7-	
to cardo.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		······································		
			+a5 10-	
w		Unation		
	an a			
			- Dina V Dall 776	
	an a		ser 6.5 0,005 (p. 971)	
		<u> </u>	12:15 for end of exam	

	9200	Taux (l (ma		ola Ra		X0)////Im	EB.	
		22033		99999999999999999999999999999999999999		Summer and the second sec		T	
				nplaint filed					
				Intelligence of the CS					
Date:	- Tiam	ZB - Z	016	SIR(C File #	481	SIRC C	L'INDECCION -	146
F/U T	ime Pi	ARTY					Page & of		

*******		Maria accordence.	Si Ka V-CHERRY
•••••			
			undertaking # 1
		aliteratura en estatuta	
,,		-	
	,		
	and a start of the second s		
	in an		
	<u></u>		

******	e opperation	4	Break hundra 12:40 pm - Historia
********	(and the second se	400mmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm	
			Ind withress.
		······	- president and -
	÷.	**********	-> dausstic extremism is relation
			to alympics i Gallinas their
			found that time
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		Page 314 of 336

	প্রর	a UEU		เดียงเจะ	201 <b>5</b> 37/	a'.'	оммін		
	22.26		TECTED completed	XXX	1		roe Ses Pontes		
	95.01 -						and the	12525	
Data F/U		before	ER of a completion of a comple	aint filed by telligence Re If the CS/S A SIRC Fi	eview Com Not, R.S.C.	mittee	) C-23	Case # 1-(6	Law and the second s
		i	N						
*****		1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	- threat	· van d	leitimen (†		- for the and the		
•••••						<b>V</b> 33			
	an a	Sac-	<u>-demai</u>						
	. <b>\$</b>								
				¥.	toes.	4.5.5 mill	angerit. A		
		and a second state of the second			,				•
			->refers	10 ST	Lecture	<u>ant - 1</u>	Q taba	NO GA	
			P17	•					
	man		Mi Clathinic	a IAB's	, prie	tice	ic:da	acidad tier	3
								ie "invert	d
			bacief"						
	·2,81001000		é reà	hich itemate	and it is	. ws	22 L mill	Are presented	
			1			•			
			* Itac	Changed	d nan	ne ż	mande	ue in 201	
			10010-	en celo	steal :	tes c	(amosti	c extremi	8
			26-	5 (~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	116000	- Ch	- Hen	2.55-	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		Qraf	1. 2 × 5 × 5	<u>68.0</u>	Nort ?	ke, d	alle o 13	ant i ast	
******			a and a	for UP	- <del>na de la constancia</del> n Constancia	. Aliment	and the second		·•·
		·····							
			101- 2 -20		*** *** *	ه غمر	·	a secondaria	
					Chis i.	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	<u>i nyenu</u>	1.2.f.W	

97 of 108

AGC1050

- - - - - - - - - - - -

95	SURIN INT	an igenoe beviev	NCONMITTER
			X TOP SECRET
18.1 7	HE MATTER of a c	omnlaint filed by BCCL	A
114 1	before the Secu	rity Intelligence Review Commi	ttee pursuant to
	section	of the CS/S Act, R.S.C. 19	185, C, C-23
Dato:		SIRC File #	SIRC Case #
			Page S of

17.12.000			202 Sec. 2	<u>nentiams</u>			·····
			<b>A</b>				
anne.	gan an a						
•••••							
	e e contra de la						
<i>///////</i>							
· «·····							
	an taka di Colomb						
anni	*****						
*******							
<i>because</i>		~*\$	end of	wan 6	3:10 pm *		
				·····			
			Bure	3 questo	a wither	infinise	
				edirect "			
						Page 316 of	3236

SECURI	Y INTELLIGENCE REVIEW COMMITTEE
	DIECTED SECRET IN TOP SECRET
before	TER of a complaint filed by CXCLA the Security Intelligence Review Committee pursuant to ection U( of the CSIS Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-23 · 2016 SIRC File # U(영) SIRC Case # 106 Page う of
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
979999 (ANNOUNCE	and of when a 330pm
	Beak 320pm-350pm
	3d interesting
for the second s	1-speaks about Neccan briefigs
	the same examples of non-classified
	3- speaks re enail * see (1 45 4.
	- speaks re known (sis's behalf
	ade 4:35pm.
······	Exhibit entered
	ESIS 9A - usanonts
	* sent to us by mail
······	m rebz as part of underthis
······	
······	
	ENGE 317 07 236

99 of 108



	ROTECTED		( ) SECRET hen completed		] TOP SECRE	
\$\$11211	completed	w				u
IN THE N	AATTER of a co	molaint filec	Iby & CIA			
			before SIRC			
	pursuant to s	section 41	of the CSIS Act, R.	S.C. 1985,	c. C-23	
. \$t ester			2 3 C184 C3x 8	annaan aan aa	I SIRC Case (	\$ ////////////////////////////////////
Date: March	2-2014		SIRC File # 15003-4181		3.3552 6.330	voonnaannaassas 4
Time: Uppon			Location: 0772			
			1 GY part	( day 2)		
			and the second s		in Camera	Ex Porte
Presiding Mem		Yues Garrier				X
SIRC's Senior C	ounsel	Chantelle Bowers				K
SIRC's Counsel						1999 Summunum
SIRC's Registra	r	Shay,	a Stawick			X
Stenographer		Shayna Stawick Neel Keeley				X
Complainant			1			
·····	Counsel		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Counsel					
CSIS Counsel		<u>Shepha</u>	and the second		ļ	
CSIS Counsel	Counsel					
	Counsel					
Witness	( CSIS )					4
Witness	CSIS I					••• ••••
Witness						
Witness						
Witness	[]]					
Translators						
Translators						
Observer	<b>į</b> )			[LOSE]		ji.
Observer	1 1			[LOSE]		
Observer				[LOSE]		

Fhga 319 cf 336

91	CURITY INTEL	SizeRea	W COMMITTEE
	PROTECTED	SizeRea	X Tap SEGRET
	Man completed	SizeRea	when completed
IN 1	before the Security	plaint filed by <u>B</u> CCC Intelligence Review Comm of the CS/S Act, R.S.C. 1	nittee pursuant to
Date: MO	W.h 22 - 2016	SIRC File # 식상 (	SIRC Case #
FN TIME	PARTY		Page ( of
	Expand	he Dawa and	

......

......

.....

..... second.

·····

******

......

.....

...**.**...

·*···*····

..... .....

ü.....

.......

· · · · · · · ·

.....

anner

.....

*****

	10.000 (n. 10.000)	Expanse Day Z-
summers.		Las 1 tout atom
-sectores and		
		to do sprep Rais (spig notes the)
	a da ang kana sa kata sa kata sa kata s	Son have Compon
		23 month of winkle Cost
		2 de lapos as fead of & P in & C from
		1010-6023
		preservat of a los of the allegedly
		L'ext gauge
		The law & prings (ST) don't allow
scheinene,		Anemato Missigak
	,,,,	<u>435 pro Viexan ja Charkelle</u>
		- 2 kites from the driver spesa C-3.
	·····	- transcript 153 inclined Aly 13
- Mercusan		
		and a the france and a spectrum the state
	199, 71, 9° Maria a Cara	
,		
		Page 329 ff 736



WHY .



Department of Justice Canada

National Security Litigetion & Advisory Group PO Box \$127, Station 1 Ottawa, Ontade K10 3/16 Groupe Nigas al consells en sécurité nationale OP 8127, Succursale T Ozawa (Ontario)

Ministère de la Justice

Canada

K10 3H0

# RECEIVED

# MAR 1.5 2016

March 14, 2016

SECRET

## BY HAND

Ms. Shayna Stawicki Registrar Security Intelligence Review Committee Jackson Building 122 Bank Street, 4th Floor Ottawa, Ontario K1P 5N6

Dear Ms. Stawicki:

## RE: BCCLA - Complaint against CSIS Pursuant to Section 41 of the CSIS Act Your File 1500-481

This is further to your correspondence of March 2nd, 2016, setting out the timelines for the above-mentioned matter.

Please find enclosed the summary of anticipated evidence of

Should you require further information, please contact the undersigned at (613) 842-1356.

Sincerel Staphanie Dior

Counsel

Encl.

ER&L ce:

\$1000-677

Canada

Physe 321 of 326
File No. 1500-481

#### SECURITY INTELLIGENCE REVIEW COMMITTEE

#### BETWEEN:

BRITISH COLUMBIA CIVIL LIBERTIES ASSOCIATION Complainant

#### - and -

#### CANADIAN SECURITY INTELLIGENCE SERVICE

Respondent

#### SUMMARY OF ANTICIPATED EVIDENCE OF

- began his career at the Canadian Security Intelligence Service (CSIS or the Service) as an Intelligence Officer (10) in the eureantly holds the position of Head of the

in BCR. The unit is responsible

for conducting interviews,

occupied various positions within the BCR between 1998-2004 and 2008 to present. More specifically, between 2010 and 2013. was the supervisor for the Unit responsible for

will testify that

3

Page 322 of 326

4. will provide such further and additional testimony and documentary evidence as may respond to the allegations set out in this complaint or as may be requested by the Committee.

-2-

5. In giving his evidence to the Committee, will refer to documents included in the Service's classified books of documents, as well as any additional relevant documents which may come to his attention before or during the hearing of this complaint.

Per: Stéphanie Dion Department of Justice Canada National Security Litigation & Advisory Group P.O. Box 8127, Station T Ottawa, Ontario, K1G 3H6

Tel: 613-842-1356 Fax: 613-842-1345

Counsel for the Respondent

Page 323 of 336

Page 324 of 326

Page 325 of 326

Page 326 of 326



Department of Justice. Canada

#### Ministère de la Justice Canada

National Security Litigation & Activeory Group PO Box 8127, Station T Cittawa, Oncario K1G 3H6 Groupe illiges st consella en sécurilé rationale CP §127, Succursale T Otaxa (Dotario) K1G 3H5

#### RECEIVED

DEC 04 2015

December 4, 2015

TOP SECRET

BY HAND

Ms. Shayna Stawicki Registrar Security Intelligence Review Committee Jackson Building 122 Bank Street, 4th Floor Ottawa, Ontario K1P 5N6

Dear Ms. Stawicki:

#### RE: BCCLA - Complaint against CSIS Parsuant to Section 41 of the CSIS Act Your File 1500-481

This is further to Ms. Bowers correspondence of November 30, 2015, confirming the deadline for the production of the Service's Books of Documents for the January *ex parte* bearing in the above-mentioned matter.

Please find enclosed the Service's Books of Documents:



Statements				targeting	
		operational	reporting		
 	and S	ervice polis	cies.	1	

Canada

Page 693 of 716

 Volume 3 contains documentation in relation to exchanges with the National Energy Board and the private sector; it includes a sampling of Intelligence Assessments Branch (IAB) products, briefings and information relating to the NRCan classified briefings mentioned in the complaint letter.

× 2 ×

Please note that an additional book containing sensitive information is also being prepared in preparation for the hearing.

it will be stored in our offices and will be available for the Committee's consultation as per the usual practice.

Should you require further information, please contact the undersigned at (613) 842-1356.

Sincerely,

Stéphanie Dion Counsel

Enel.

cc: ER&L

51000-677

Page 694 of 716

# TAB

6

ri en de la completencia de la		Excel to Word)			
<b>.</b> Caro 2006 05 22 - 751	EV 874 (12)	i périétenik na ményangi di bulan ingerenanga			
REPORT NUMBER	SENT DATE	COUNTRY	IR CODE	SYNOPSIS	DISSEMINAT
-	24-Apr-14				
	terior ar ar a second a company company del del del parte den de adamén				
	л 2				
6	anna an ann an ann ann an ann an ann an				
	and the second second second second second				











Page 111





Page 113





·

 	·		
12-May-14			
13-Mar-14			
14-May-14		tan marana (se set a	
70 D 11			
28-Dec-11			
10-Apr-14			
27-May-14			
3-Jun-14		te (10 percent)	
24-Apr-13			
× · · ·			





			 	 	 <del>۲</del>

CONTRACTOR OF COMMENCE AND ADDRESS AND ADDRESS			contraction of the state of the	

# TAB





DATE 20131128


RE / OBJET:		
SYNOPSIS / SOMMAIRE:		
INFORMATION / RENSEIGNEMENTS:	 	









Page 144





## TAB

5





,

\$

5

Page 35t

3 of 3



Canadian Security Intelligence Service Sérvice cañadien du renseignement de sécurité

TOP SECRET

### Intelligence Requirements Document

### 2014 - 2015

### 1 April 2014

Tab/Onglet 8

AGC1055

CEO